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## PREFACE.

The text of Plato followed in this book is that of the Zurich edition of 1851 . The points in which I have departed from it are not numerous, and are chiefly ones of punctuation and spelling. I have however added the references to the sections of Stephanus (which are not given in the Zurich text), and have verified from Stephanus' edition the references both to sections and pages. In quoting from or referring to other dialogues I have always used Stallbaum's text of 1850 .

An essay on the text of the Laches by Dr. Michael Gitlbauer,* Professor at Vienna, suggested some disquieting ideas as to the genuineness of a considerable portion of the text. But I did not think it suitable to the character of my book to depart from my intention of abstaining as far as possible from textual criticism ; and those who have read the Professor's treatise will, I think, allow that his suggestions, ingenious as they all are, and plausible as many of them seem, are at least not such as to be adopted in a school edition.

[^0]In writing the Introduction and Notes I have consulted chiefly the Introductions of Jowett and Grote, the Notes of Stallbaum, and Riddell's 'Digest of Idioms' in his edition of Plato's Apology. In the first part of the note on the 'modes' of Greek music I have followed Gevaert (Histoire et Théorie de la Musique de l'Antiquïté).

It is hoped that the book may be useful as an introduction to the study of Plato; but it is not meant for the lower forms of a public school, or for those who have not already some acquaintance with other Greek authors.

Northcourt House, Abingdon, A pril, 1888.
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## INTRODUCTION.

Plato, the son of Ariston and Perictione, was horn about B.c. 429.* His father is said to have traced his descent from Codrus, his mother was the great-grand-daughter of Dropides, brother of Solon. Plato was of an healthy and athletic frame, and gained some skill in the art of wrestling under an Argive trainer named Ariston. He was taught to read and write at the school of a Dionysius, and his education was continued by the lessons of Draco, a teacher of literature and music. The boy learnt readily, and is said to have developed a taste for writing poetry. We have a few epigrams ascribed to him, but it is not probable that any of them are genuine. There is a story that on hearing Socrates discourse, Plato burnt a tragedy that he had intended for the stage, exclaiming, presumably in self-derision-

'Hephaestus, come. Plato hath need of thee.'

* This seems, on the whole, the most probable date. Our authorities do not enable us to fix the date of Plato's life with any certainty, and consequently the dates here given for his travels must be taken as being no better than approximations.


## INTRODUCTION.

Plato's intimacy with Socrates dates from his twenty-first year, but his philosophical studies had begun before this, as he had already made acquaintance with the Heraclitean system under the guidance of Cratylus. But from the first year of his friendship with Socrates, to the day of his master's death, we may be sure that the two were as constantly together as the duties and dangers of those troubled times would allow. Plato indeed seems to have kept aloof from active political life at Athens, partly, it may be supposed, because he could not persuade himself to work under a democratical system which he disliked, partly because he had a weak voice, which must in great measure have disqualified him for public speaking in the Pnyx.

He was present at the trial of Socrates, and did all that he could in support of his friend, but was prevented by illness from being with him in the prison when he drank the hemlock.

After the death of Socrates, Plato withdrew to Megara, where he stayed with Euclides his fellow disciple, and became acquainted with the Megaric system, which was a combination of Socratic philosophy with the doctrines known as Eleatic. From Megara he went to Cyrene, a Greek colony in Africa, and from Cyrene he may have visited Egypt. He then-possibly after returning to Athens-travelled in Italy, where he made the acquaintance of the Pythagorean philosophers of Locri and Tarentum, and Sicily, where he became intimate with Dion, brother-inlaw of the elder Dionysius. The elder Dionysius was
then on the throne (B.C. 388); he is said to have quarrelled with Plato, to have dismssed him in anger, and even to have contrived that he should be sold as a slave by Pollis the Spartan in whose ship he was returning to Greece. The story goes that he was ransomed by Anniceris of Cyrene.

However this may be, Plato twice again visited Sicily, once in B.c. 366 at the request of Dion, to guide the younger Dionysius, who had succeeded his father, in the government of Syracuse. Though Plato obtained some influence over the prince, the result was not satisfactory; his friend Dion was banished, and Plato returned to Greece shortly afterwards. Once more he went to the island (B.c. 363), this time at the request of Dionysius, but failing in his endeavours to procure Dion's recall, and having lost the favour of the tyrant, he gave up all idea of influencing him for good, and returned to Athens, where he lived until the time of his death (B.c. 347).

It was about the year b.c. 387, after his first visit to Sicily, that Plato began to teach philosophy at Athens. He discoursed and lectured first in the grove and gymnasium of Academus on the north-west of Athens, and afterwards in his own house and garden between that place and Colonus. The nature of his teaching can be in some measure inferred from his dialogues, and many of his distinctions and definitions have been preserved by Diogenes Laertius, who wrote lives of the philosophers about the end of the second century A.D. Aristotle (Metaph. i. 6) gives an account and criticism of Plato's philosophy, which is of great
value, but treats of questions beyond the scope of this book. For the Laches is one of the 'Socratic' dialogues, and Socrates considered questions of moral philosophy without ever attempting to construct a system dealing with nature as a whole ( $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \alpha a^{\tau} o v s . . . \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \mu \epsilon ̀ v \tau \grave{\alpha}$
 Aristotle loc. cit.).

We have the following anecdote on Aristotle's authority. Once Aristippus, when Plato as he thought had spoken with too much assurance, rebuked him by saying, "Our friend" (meaning Socrates) "never talked like that" (Rhet. ii. 23, 12). It is also said that his lectures were at times above the comprehension of most of his audience. He must however have made his teaching intelligible to his more select pupils.

Many eminent men of the time came to learn from Plato, but by far the most famous of his pupils are Aristotle and Demosthenes. The latter, Cicero tells us, was a diligent reader of Plato's works, as well as a hearer of his lectures, and he considers that Demosthenes by this means obtained much of the impressiveness of his style. Aristotle's debt to his master was of a different nature. The style of his extant works is as different as possible from that of the dialogues of Plato, but his great system of philosophy could never have attained half its development without Plato's teaching.

Plato was not popular at Athens. We have seen that on the death of Socrates he found it advisable to withdraw from his country, and we are told that on one occasion, when Chabrias the general was on his
trial for his life, and Plato was going up with him to the Acropolis to plead for him, Crobylus, the informer, who met them, said to the philosopher, "Have you come as another's advocate, when you know that the hemlock of Socrates is awaiting you ?" Part of this unpopularity no doubt attached to these two men from their being at times confused with the Sophists, and something will be said on this subject in the notes to the Dialogue ; but the restored democracy of Athens disliked everything not democratic, and neither Socrates nor Plato, though good citizens, approved of the Athenian democracy ; and further, Socrates had taught Alcibiades, Critias, and Charmides, and the two latter were kinsmen of Plato. If we remember the deserved hatred in which those names were held, as well as the dislike so easily earned by clever men who taught sons to be wiser than their fathers, we shall not think it strange that Socrates-who besides made many men his enemies by exposing their ignorance-should have been accused upon a capital charge, or that Plato may have been in danger of the same fate. The condemnation of Socrates, it should be observed, was the direct result of his unbending and almost defiant attitude toward his judges, and this is distinctly insisted upon by Xenophon.

Anything like an account of Socrates, in spite of the deeply interesting nature of the subject, would be out of place here. In Xenophon's Memorabiliu, an easy and fascinating book, the reader will find nearly all that is known about his life, and for his death he should read the end of Plato's Phaedo. The account
there given is no doubt authentic, and there are besides several pieces of information about the events in Socrates' life scattered through Plato's works. But that is all we can say. For though Socrates is the principal speaker in nearly all these dialogues, the thoughts are those of Plato, not those of Socrates. The Socratic manner is, however, more or less faithfully reproduced, and in some of the earlier dialogues, such as the Charmides, Laches, and Lysis, there is probably but little departure from Socrates' real teaching. In fact we possibly have here a side of Socrates, which Xenophon has merely hinted at. The Socrates of the earlier Platonic dialogues, if suggestive, is yet mainly critical, destructive, and negative. The Socrates of the Memorabilia is critical, but he is constructive and eminently practical. He would prove to mankind that there can be such a thing as moral and political science, and consequently an art of living and of ruling. Nobody, he said, dreamt of making a shoe or playing a harp without first learning how to do it; and it was absurd that any yourg gentleman of good birth and manners should consider himself-without any training-fit to guide the counsels of his country or command her armies. With an air of gentle deference to their superior wisdom he would put to such aspirants a few apparently innocent questions, their answers to which soon proved to them that they knew nothing of the subjects on which they thought themselves so well qualified to guide others. Many who had been thus exposed left Socrates in disgust and became his
bitter enemies, but the better sort would come back to him, and then he was most ready to help, them with sound practical advice. Xenophon by no means ignores the fact that Socrates discussed the nature of the moral virtues, but he gives much greater prominence to the practical part of his teaching.*

Before passing to the Laches in particular, a few words should be said about Plato's dialogues in general. It is not unlikely that the earliest of them were published not long after Socrates' death; but it is not at all probable that any of them were composed, far less published, in his life-time. There is indeed a story told by Diogenes Laertius that Plato not only composed his Lysis while Socrates was living, but actually read it to him, when Socrates remarked, "Good heavens, what a number of lies that young man has been telling about me." ('Нра́к $\lambda \epsilon \iota$,
 $\S 35$ ). But the story is too improbable to be accepted on such authority. There seems, however, to be reason for supposing the Lysis to be the earliest of the dialogues.

In these works Plato treats of various subjects, but chiefly of those belonging to the sphere of moral, political, and mental philosophy. The Charmides seeks for a definition of temperance, the Lysis asks, "What is friendship ?" the Laches, "What is courage ?" the Republic discusses the nature of justice and the perfection of the individual in society, the Phaedo treats

* For the account given of courage-the subject of Plato's Laches-by Socrates in the Memorabilia see Appendix.
of the immortality of the soul, the Theaetetus of the nature of knowledge, the Meno of virtue, the Symposium of love, the Philebus of pleasure. These are but a few of Plato's dialogues, but they are some of the best known, and for the most part are concerned with a definite subject. Some of the others could not be so shortly described.

The style of Plato has been described by Aristotle as " midway between poetry and prose." This need not refer merely to the language, but probably alludes, in part at least, to the nature of the dialogues themselves, many of which for their dramatic force may well rank as excellent works of fiction ; and Aristotle would have called fiction poetry.

The language itself is often poetical, but not more so than we consider allowable for prose. As Greek it is of course beyond praise, though a beginner might sometimes wish the sentences to be a little less long, and the constructions a little more free from colloquial irregularities.

The Laches is one of the earlier or Socratic dia logues of Plato ; it is very dramatic. The characters of the dialogue are Lysimachus, son of Aristides the Just, and Melesias, son of Pericles' rival Thucydides ; their two friends Nicias and Laches, Socrates (who is apparently represented as being quite a young man), and two boys, the sons of Lysimachus and Melesias, named respectively Aristides and Thucydides after their grandfathers.

The scene is a palaestra. Nicias and Laches have been with the two fathers to see a 'master of arms,'
named Stesilaus, fighting in heavy armour, and are to give their opinion on the performance. Lysimachus and Melesias want to know whether this exercise would be a suitable accomplishment for their sons, whom they wish to educate as well as possible. Nicias professes his readiness to give advice, but Laches suggests that the opinion of Socrates should be asked, as he is a man who is constantly considering the question of the education of the young. This makes Lysimachus think that this Socrates, the son of his old friend Sophroniscus, may be the man whom he has often heard the boys praising. One of them tells him that he is right in his conjecture, and, after a testimony from Laches to Socrates' good conduct in the retreat from Delium, Lysimachus presses Socrates for his opinion on the subject of " fighting in armour." Socrates modestly says that Nicias and Laches ought to speak first, and accordingly they give their opinions, Nicias in favour of the exercise as an useful addition to the art of warfare, Laches against it as an unprofitable innovation. Lysimachus is sorry to find that they differ, and an appeal is made to Socrates to settle the question by his casting vote. This Socrates will not do, "for," he says, " the question is not what do the majority think, but is there any among us who really knows about the matter we are considering, and if so, what is his opinion? The matter we are really considering is what are the requirements of the soul; and if any of us has scientific knowledge of the treatment proper for the soul, his opinion will be valuable ; but to have it he must have studied the subject under
good masters ; if not, it is very unlikely that he will have any skill in the matter, and we shall not believe him, if he says that he has such skill, unless he can show us some practical results of it in the shape of the improvement of his fellow-men. I could not afford masters, and I have not been able to acquire the skill. But let us inquire if Nicias and Laches have it."

Nicias and Laches agree, but the question is not pursued any further in this form, Socrates suggesting that they shall substitute for the inquiry, "Do we know how to improve the soul ?" the inquiry, "Do we know the nature of that which is best for the soul, namely, virtue?" And he further suggests that it will be enough for the present purpose to take one part of virtue, namely, courage, and see if they know what that is.

Laches thinks the question an easy one, and defines courage thus: "A man who was ready to keep his place in the rank and resist the enemy, and not run away, would be courageous " (190 E).

Socrates objects that this is at best only a definition of a hoplite's courage, and he explains that he wants a definition of courage in a much wider sense. Accordingly Laches now explains it as "an endurance (or resistance) of the soul." Socrates suggests that such endurance or resistance will be noble only when combined with prudence or wisdom; otherwise it will be harmful ; and that as courage is noble, it will be only sensible endurance that can be called courage. Laches agrees (192 D). "Yet," says Socrates, "a man who is resolute in spending sensibly, or resolute
in refusing unsuitable food to an invalid, is not therefore called brave, nor is a man who resists in war thought more brave when all the advantage is on his side, and he knows it. Nay, when the advantage is on his opponent's side, and he knows it, then is he rather called brave for resisting. And in many like instances we find the greatest courage is the resistance which is combined with a want of prudence. Here, then, we have a contradiction, but we must not give up because of the check. So we will ask Nicias to help in the chase."

Nicias (194 C-D) says that ảvópeía had better be defined as a sort of wisdom, and suggests that this will be in accordance with Socrates" usual teaching. "What wisdom ?" he is asked. He answers, "The wisdom to understand things to be dreaded and things not to be dreaded, both in war and in all other circumstances."

Laches objects that we do not call husbandmen brave for knowing about things to be dreaded in agriculture, or doctors brave for knowing about things to be dreaded in disease.

Nicias answers that doctors, as such, know only about health and disease. They may know whether their patient will recover or not, but their professional knowledge does not tell them which is most terrible to him, recovery or death.
"Oh," says Laches, " then your brave man is simply a prophet ?"
" No," Nicias replies. "A prophet knows merely what will happen, not whether the future will be terrible or not."

Laches calls this shuffling, but Socrates is inclined to think there may be something in what Nicias says, and so proceeds to question him. "You think that no one can be brave without this knowledge ?"

Nicias assents, and says that beasts and children may be fearless ( ${ }^{\circ} \phi \circ \beta a$ ), but cannot be brave ( $\left.\dot{\alpha} v \delta \rho \in i \hat{a}\right)$.

Laches calls this sophistry ; but Socrates still keeps up his judicial attitude. He proceeds :

Soc. Nicias, you call 'courage' a part of virtue, I suppose ?

Nic. Yes.
Soc. What then are things to be dreaded, and the reverse ? future evils and goods ?

Nic. Yes.
Soc. Courage, then, is the knowledge or science of good and evil in the future. But can any science be of the future only? Must it not be just as much concerned with the present and the past ?

Nic. It must.
Soc. Then courage will be the science of good and evil whether past, present, or future, and will therefore be the whole of virtue, and not a part only. So our definition was wrong after all, and we must go to school with the boys to learn.

Thus we have no definite answer given to the question, "What is courage?" Yet an answer is suggested in the conversation, which contains besides many points of interest and instruction. Attention will be called to these in the Notes; and the reader is referred to the Appendix for further remarks on the subject of the dialogue.

The date at which the conversation is supposed to take place must be between the autumn of B.c. 424 , when the battle of Delium was fought, and the summer of B.c. 418, when Laches fell in the battle of Mantineia. Socrates was more than seventy (Apology 17 D ) at the time of his trial (B.C. 399), so that he must have been at least forty-five in B.c. 424 . Therefore he could hardly have been a young man at any time when the dialogue could have occurred. Plato, however, aims at plausibility rather than possibility in points of chronology.

## THE LANGUAGE OF THE DIALOGUE.

Special difficulties oceur in the interpretation of every author ; those in Plato are due chiefly to his endeavour to represent in his dialogues the characteristics of actual conversation; yet from the frequency with which irregularities that we should call colloquial occur in all Greek literature, and from the fact that there was no sharp distinction in Attic Greek between the language of careless talk and that of literary prose, it is impossible to say with certainty that any given peculiarity in Plato is the result of a studied negligence. For an exhaustive treatise on these peculiarities the reader is referred to the 'Digest of Idioms' in Riddell's edition of Plato's Apology; here it will be sufficient to give a short account of some typical points of interest or difficulty in the language of the Laches.

## I. AS TO THE USE OF WORDS.

The following words are used in a somewhat unusual sense :-

тол入áкıs, 'perhaps,' 179 в and 194 А.
X $\omega$ рis, 'different from,' 195 A.
aútíка, 'for instance,' 195 в.
є̇สเєเкติs, 'sufficiently,' 200 в.

## II. AS TO THE ORDER OF WORDS.

Hyperbaton, the figure by which a word is for the sake of emphasis put out of its proper place in a sentence, is found in the following passages :-
 where ${ }^{k} \tau \iota$ belongs to $\delta \in i v$.


 єites ; and perhaps in
 фav入oтépous $\mu a \chi \epsilon i \tau a l, 193 \mathrm{~A}$, where if $\mu \in ́ \nu$ is to correspond to $\delta \epsilon$ it should follow $\beta$ on $\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma t v$.

## THE LANGUAGE OF THE DIALOGUE. xxiii

III. AS TO COMBINATIONS OF WORDS.

A remarkable combination of particles is that of $v \hat{v} v \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ldots$ yáp used to introduce a clause contradicting a foregoing hypothesis that was contrary to fact. See note on 184 D , where the expression occurs, and compare 200 E .

Notice also the combination єi üpa $\pi о \lambda \lambda$ ákıs, 179 в (where see note) and 194 A .

## IV. AS to irregularities of syntax.

These result in general either (a) from a wish on the part of the speaker (or writer) to put before the mind of his hearers (or readers) more than the logic of grammar will allow; or (b) from the fact of his thoughts being so concentrated on a particular clause that he forgets its precise relation with the rest of the period.

The former tendency is shown in (i.) Irregular Anticipation, (ii.) Confusion of Clauses, (iii.) Irregular Recapitulation; the latter in (iv.) Irregular Apodosis, (v.) Anacoluthon. Instances of these irregularities will now be given in detail :-
(i.) Irregular Anticipation.


Here каì тои̂то тò $\mu \dot{́} \theta \eta \mu a$ anticipates the ötı clause. This construction is, however, little more than an extension of the common figure by which the subject of a dependent sentence is taken out of it and made the subject or object of the principal sentence. (See note on $\tau o ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \delta \phi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \ldots$ olov $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \beta \eta$, 183 D.)

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \not{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda ., 182 \mathrm{E}$.
Here кai $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$ anticipates the relative clause and spoils the grammar of the sentence.
(ii.) Confusion of Clauses.

тivos övtos тov́тou oû گŋroû $\mu \in \nu$ тoùs $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a ́ \lambda o u s ; ~ 185$ B, which is a combination of tivos گŋтoû $\mu \in \nu$ тoùs $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a ́ \lambda o u s ; ~$

(iii.) Irregular Recapitulation.


 $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu, 186 \mathrm{D}$.

## xxiv <br> THE LANGUAGE OF THE DIALOGUE.

Here каi $\grave{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \pi а \rho а к є \lambda \epsilon$ v́opai $\sigma o l$ is inserted to resume the idea of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \delta \dot{\delta} о \mu a \iota$ on account of the intervention of the clause $\kappa а \theta \dot{\pi} \pi \epsilon \rho \ldots \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu$.
(iv.) Irregular A podosis.
 178 A. (See note on the passage.)
 186 c.
(v.) Anacoluthon.



 $\kappa о \iota \nu \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \omega ิ \nu, 179 \mathrm{~B}$.
Here there should properly be finite verbs in the place of $\dot{v} \pi о \mu \nu \eta$ йоутєs and таракалоиิขтєs.

 $\pi o ́ \delta a s ~ a u ̉ \tau o \hat{v} \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к a \tau a ́ \sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu a ~ a ́ \phi i \epsilon \tau a l ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \delta o ́ \rho a \tau o s, ~ \tau o ́ \tau ' ~$
 катéXєเv, 184 A.
Here $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \iota$ aúvô̂ suggests that another dative governed by $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ will follow. Instead of that we have a long clause with quite a different construction.


 ぞ тเva кáтpov фával eỉ́évaı, 196 Е.
Here the insertion of the words $\lambda$ éovтa ... фávą thrusts out the word $\xi v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \nu$ from its legitimate government of $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon \nu a l$, and their omission would make the sentence quite logical.

ПАATSNOE $\Lambda A X H \Sigma$.

TA TOX $\triangle$ IAAOLOT IPOミתПA
 ムAXH乏，ПAIDE $\triangle$ Y IMMAXOY KAI MEAHटIOצ，$\Sigma \Omega K P A T H \Sigma$ ．

## ПムATQNO乏 $\Lambda A X H \Sigma$.




















 $\alpha u ̛ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \kappa a \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\sigma$ ov oioì $\tau^{\prime} \mid \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu e ́ v . ~ B ~$





 коเขŋŋ $\mu \in \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.




 $\dot{v} \mu \alpha \hat{s}$. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \varsigma ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ є́ $\alpha \tau \tau о \hat{v} \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s$


















 $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \beta \epsilon i v \ddot{\alpha}^{\prime \prime \mu} \mu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \epsilon \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \varsigma,{ }_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \mu \alpha \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma v \mu-$


 $\sigma v \mu \beta$ оидєи́єเข каі $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ тои́тои т゚ov̂ $\mu \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma$,


 $\tau \iota \pi o \iota \eta \quad \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.






 т $\omega \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu ~ \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau о v \sigma \iota \nu$, ö $\tau \iota$ avंтoîs $\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o ́ v ~ \tau \iota$










180 c $\Lambda \Upsilon . ~ \Pi \omega ิ s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon t \varsigma, ~ \hat{\omega} \Lambda \alpha ́ \chi \eta s ; ~ \sum \omega \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ öסє тıvòs тî̀ тoıoút $\omega \nu$ é $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \pi о$ ì $\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota$ ；



 Өок入є́ous $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \Delta a ́ \mu \omega \nu \alpha, \alpha, \alpha \delta \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \alpha \rho!\epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau о \nu$

 бкоья．







 $\hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，каì $\pi \rho о ́ т \epsilon \rho о \nu$ є́кєìvos є́тє入єи́т $\eta \sigma \epsilon \pi \rho i ́ \nu ~ \tau \iota ~$





 кра́тทs，тєрі̀ о̂̂̀ є́ка́бтотє $\mu є ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ；

ПАI．Пávv $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ o $\hat{v} \nu, \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho$ ，ồ $\tau o \varsigma$ ．
МY．Е $\hat{v} \gamma \epsilon \nu \grave{\eta} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H}_{\rho} \alpha \nu, \widehat{\omega} \Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ，ӧт८ ő $\rho \theta$ oîs тòv $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha, \stackrel{้}{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau о \nu$ à $\nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ö $\nu \tau \alpha$ каi







 то́тє тоєойтоע $\pi \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$.





 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha}_{S} \kappa \alpha i$ оiккєious $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta i ́ \kappa \alpha \iota o v ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu$













$\Pi \Lambda A T \Omega N O \Sigma$ АAXH $\Sigma$.

 $\kappa \alpha i$ бє каi тои́тоия. $\grave{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}, \hat{\omega}$ Nıкía, тí оv $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ $\pi о ́ т \epsilon \rho о \varsigma ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$;

 є่ $\pi i \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi \hat{\eta}$. каі $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \grave{o} \mu \grave{\eta}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \theta_{\imath}$



182 A -



 тоís $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ т o ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu ~ o ́ \rho \gamma a ́ v o ı s ~ \gamma u \mu \nu a \xi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o l . ~$

 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu^{*} \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ v \tau o \iota ~ \alpha u ̛ \tau o u ̂ ~ o ै ~ \phi \epsilon \lambda о \varsigma, ~$



















 $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \mid \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \chi \eta \mu о \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \quad \phi \alpha i \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha u$, ồ $\mathbf{D}$



 $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ 入є́ $\gamma \epsilon t$, кӓ้ $\alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \in \omega s ~ \dot{\alpha} \kappa о v ́ \sigma \alpha \iota \mu$.
VI. $\Lambda \mathrm{A}$. 'А $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \iota \mu \in ́ \nu, \hat{\omega}$ Nıкía, $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi o ̀ \nu$


 E










ПムAT $\Omega N O \Sigma$ АAXHะ．




 $\epsilon i \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ каі $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad$ 人ै $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ aै $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho$－








 каі $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota ~ \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \nu$ є́ $\pi \iota \delta є \iota \kappa \nu v \mu$ ย́vovs，каі $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~$


c VII．＂ $\mathrm{E} \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha, \hat{\omega} \Lambda v \sigma i \mu \alpha \chi \epsilon$ ，ov $\pi \alpha ́ \nu v$｜ỏ入í久oıs











П $\Lambda A T \Omega N O \Sigma$ AAXHZ.






 $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \rho o ́ s, \tau \grave{o}$ ठ̀ $\sigma o ́ \phi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ тò тov̂ ठ $\rho \in \pi a ́ \nu o v ~ \tau o u ̂ ~$






 סópatos є́Хóuєvov, ウ’фiєı тò סópv סià тท̂s Хєıро́s,




 ท́pous oúкє́ть oîoi т' $\bar{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega \tau а ~ к а т \epsilon ́ \chi є t \nu, ~$


 $\ddot{\alpha} \tau \tau \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i v$.
 оӥт òv фабi каi $\pi \rho о \sigma \pi o \iota o \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha \iota ~ \alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha \iota ~ \mu \alpha ́ \theta \eta \mu \alpha$,

ПムATתNO乏 $\Lambda A X H \Sigma$.



 $\dot{v} \pi \grave{o}$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\nu \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$, єi каі б $\sigma \iota \kappa \rho \grave{\nu} \nu$ є́ $\xi a \mu \alpha ́ \rho т о \iota$,

 $\mu \eta$ тı $\theta a v \mu \alpha \sigma \tau o ̀ v ~ o f ~ \sigma o \nu ~ \delta \iota \alpha ф є ́ \rho є \iota ~ \tau \hat{n}$ a $\rho \in \tau \hat{n} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$





 тои̂ трокєєцє́vov.




 каi $\sigma о \hat{v}, \pi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega$ тоîv à $\nu \delta \rho \circ i ̂ \nu ~ \sigma u ́ \mu \psi \eta \phi o s ~ є i ̂ . ~$
IX. $\Sigma \Omega$. Tí $\delta a i, \widehat{\omega} \Lambda v \sigma i \mu a \chi \epsilon ; \dot{\delta} \pi о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho ’ \hat{\alpha} \nu$ oì


$\Sigma \Omega$. ${ }^{\top} \mathrm{H}$ каi $\sigma \dot{\prime}, \widehat{\omega} \mathrm{M}_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\eta \sigma i \alpha}$, ойт $\omega \varsigma$ àv $\pi о \iota o i ̂ s ;$
 тí र $\rho \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu}, \hat{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ тоîऽ $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. $\pi \epsilon i ̂ \theta o \iota o$,



 o $\hat{u} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu}$;

ME. "I $\sigma \omega s$.



ME. Пढ̂s $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ oü;
$\Sigma \Omega$. Оüкой̀ каi vv̂̀ Хрウ̀ $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ aưтò тойтo






 т $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \nu \tau i ́ a ~ \gamma є \nu о \mu є ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ к а i ~ \pi \widehat{\alpha s}$ ò оі̂коs ò той татро̀s oüt

ME. 'A $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \iota$.

ME. Пávv $\gamma є$.



 тои́тои;

МЕ. "Ецогяє бокєї.
 $\xi_{\eta \tau \tau о и ̆ \mu \epsilon \nu} \tau$ то̀̀s $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda o u s ;$

ME. Пิิs $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$;






 $\mu \alpha \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} ;$
$\Sigma \Omega$. Пávv $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ồv, $\widehat{\dot{\omega}} \mathrm{N}$ ккía. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ óт $\tau \nu \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$


 ${ }_{o} \phi \theta \theta \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$;

NI. $\Pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.




NI. ' $A \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$.





NI. 'Avá ${ }^{\prime} \kappa \eta$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. $\Delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ каi тòv $\sigma u ́ \mu \beta о \nu \lambda о \nu$ бкотєì,$\hat{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ тєХขıкós є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ єis є́кєívou $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~ o \hat{u}$ є́vєка бкотои́ $\mu \in \nu о \iota ~ \sigma \kappa о \pi о и ิ \mu є \nu$.

NI. Пávv $\gamma є$.
を
 $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \psi \sim \chi \hat{\eta} \varsigma \stackrel{\prime \prime}{\epsilon} \nu \in \kappa \alpha \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$.

NI. Naí.




 $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha}$ סıסабка́ $\lambda \omega \nu$;
 $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \alpha l$, єi фаîєv à $\gamma a \theta o i ̀ ~ \epsilon i v a l ~ \delta ̀ \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma o i ́, ~ \epsilon i ~ \mu \eta ' ~$



АА. Toûto $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda_{\epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s . ~}^{\text {. }}$
 Nıкía, є̇тєьò̀ $\Lambda v \sigma i \mu a \chi o s ~ к а і ̈ ~ M є \lambda \eta \sigma i a s ~ є i s ~ \sigma v \mu-~$










































 $\sigma \chi o \lambda \grave{\eta} \hat{\dot{\eta}} \dot{v} \pi \dot{o}$ т $\frac{\hat{\omega} \nu}{\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \pi{ }^{\prime}$










 $\kappa є \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon i \alpha a ~ \gamma ı \gamma \nu о \mu . \in ́ v \eta$. $\lambda є ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ồv, тí тои́т $\omega \nu$ グ $\phi а \tau \epsilon \grave{ }$

 тоùs ävסрак.

 т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ тоєои́т $\omega \nu$ є’ $\rho \omega \tau \bar{\alpha} \sigma \theta a i ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma о \nu, ~$





 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ т $\omega \nu$ тоьои́т $\omega \nu$, каi ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ s каì є่ $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$ оi $\pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ $\dot{v} \mu i ̀ \nu$ ỏ $\lambda i ́ \gamma o v, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ oi $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota, \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i \alpha \nu \mid$ єै $\chi о v \sigma \iota \mathbf{D}$




 тoteiv.







$\Lambda \Upsilon$. Tí $\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha, \hat{\omega}$ Nıкía;
XIII. NI. Oü po九 סокєîs єióéval ôtı ôs âv






 aن́тòv $\dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota ~ \Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta s, \pi \rho i \nu ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu i \sigma \eta ~ \tau \alpha u ̈ \tau \alpha$





B $\mu \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \varsigma \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \hat{\jmath} \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \mid \hat{\eta} \pi o \iota o \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon i \varsigma \tau \dot{\partial} \nu$




 тovs $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каі̀ $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota ~ \sigma \chi є \delta o ́ v ~ \tau \iota$
















 тoîs $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{a}$ єٌ $\rho \gamma \alpha, \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \omega \hat{s} \delta \omega \rho \iota \sigma \tau i ̀ \alpha \lambda \lambda$ '









ПАAT $\Omega N O \Sigma$ МAXHZ.




















 бибкотєiv.





































190 B $\Lambda \mathrm{A}$. 'А $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma, \widehat{\omega} \Sigma \omega \kappa \rho а \tau \epsilon \varsigma$.


 áuєívous $\pi о \iota \eta$ 向 $\sigma \iota \epsilon \nu$;
$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$. Пávv $\gamma є$.







АА. Фацє้̀ $\mu \in ́ \nu \tau о$.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$.

АА. П $\omega$ s $\gamma$ à $\rho$ oü ;


 D $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon i o ̛ e ́ v a i ~ к \alpha i ~ \grave{\eta} \mu i v, ~ i ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon i к o ́ s, ~ \mid ~ \rho o ́ ̣ ́ \omega \nu ~$ ท̀ $\sigma \kappa$ ќ $\psi \iota \varsigma$ є̈ $\sigma \tau \alpha \iota$.
 $\beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$.






ПАAT
$\Sigma \Omega$. Toũto тoìvv $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu$ є́ $\pi \iota \chi \in \iota \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu, \quad \hat{\dot{\omega}} 190 \mathrm{D}$


 тє каі $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \quad \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota . \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \quad \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega}$ $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i \nu$ ٌ̈ $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$, $\tau i ́ \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu \grave{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \alpha$.








АА. Пढ̂s тойто $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma, \hat{\omega}$ इ'́кратєs;







АА. $\Pi \omega ิ s ~ \phi \epsilon u ́ \gamma \omega \nu ;$

 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ тoùs toû Aiveiov ï $\pi \pi$ ous $\kappa \rho \alpha \iota \pi \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{a} \lambda^{\prime}$


 є่ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$, каі єîmєv aútòv єỉval $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \omega \rho \alpha$ ф о́ $\beta$ о 七о.

ПムAT $\Omega N O \Sigma$ МAXH
191 в $\Lambda \mathrm{A} . \mathrm{K} \alpha \grave{\iota} \kappa \lambda \hat{\omega}{ }_{\varsigma} \gamma \epsilon, \hat{\omega} \Sigma \dot{\omega} \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \varsigma^{*} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\alpha} \rho \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$


 ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.


 нє́vovtas т oòs aútoùs $\mu \alpha ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, ~ \grave{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \phi \epsilon u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime}{ }_{\epsilon} \lambda u^{\prime} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha i \tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon-$
 ті̀ є̇кєє $\mu \alpha ́ \chi \eta \nu$.
$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$. ' $\mathrm{A} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \iota$.





 то⿺̀s є̀v тоîs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \theta \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \alpha \nu ~ к ı \nu \delta u ́ v o ı s ~ a ̀ \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i o v s ~$ övтая, каi ö ó оо $\gamma \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ v o ́ \sigma o v s ~ к а i ~ o ̈ \sigma о \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$
 єैт८ â̂ ù̀ нóvov ö $\sigma o \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \lambda u ́ \pi a s ~ a ̀ \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i o i ́ ~ \epsilon i \sigma \iota \nu ~$ خ̈ фóßovs, à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каі $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi ı \theta v \mu i ́ a s ~ \hat{\eta} ~ \dot{\eta} \delta o v a ̀ s ~$ סєเvoì $\mu \alpha ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, каì $\mu \in ́ \nu о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ ท ี ~ a ̀ \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma-~$



ИА. Kai $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho \alpha$, ̂̂ $\Sigma \dot{\kappa} к \rho а т є \varsigma$.


 oîmaı, סєı入íav є̇v тoîs av̇тoîs тoútoıs.
$\Lambda$ A. Пávv $\gamma є$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Tí $\pi о \tau є$ ö̀ є́ка́тєроу тои́т $\omega \nu$, тои̂то є่ $\pi \nu \nu \theta \alpha-$

 $\mu a \nu \theta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ ò̀ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$;
$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$. Oı̉ $\pi \alpha ́ v v \tau$ т.






 бѝ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$;

МА. Пর́vv $\gamma є$.




 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$.
$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$. 'О $\mathrm{O} \theta \hat{\omega} \varsigma \gamma_{\epsilon} \sigma \dot{v} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega \nu$.


 є̈ $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ à $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ \alpha ~ к \epsilon ́ к \lambda \eta \tau \alpha \iota . ~$
 $\psi \cup \chi \hat{\varsigma}$ ，єi тó $\gamma \epsilon \delta i \grave{\iota} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i a s ~ \pi \epsilon ф \cup к o ̀ s$ סєî єimeîv．

 фаі̀єтаі ой ть $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\alpha} \quad \gamma \epsilon$ ，ìs є́ $\gamma \hat{\oplus} \mu \alpha \iota$ ，картєрía

 $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \dot{v}$ à $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ \alpha \nu$ єìvau．
$\Lambda \mathrm{A} . \mathrm{E} \hat{\dot{v}} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ồv ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta_{\iota}$ ő $\tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ ．
 $\kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\eta}$ ．

ムА．Пávv $\gamma є$ ．
D $\quad \Sigma \Omega$ ．Tí $\delta^{\prime} \mid \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau$ àфробúvŋs ；oủ тoùvavtion таи́тп $\beta \lambda \alpha \beta$ ера̀ каі̀ какойрүоя ；

АA．Naí．
 ồ какойруо́v тє каі $\beta \lambda \alpha \beta є \rho о ́ \nu ;$


 à $\nu \rho \in i ́ \alpha$ ка入о́v є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$.
$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$ ．＇ $\mathrm{A} \lambda \eta \theta_{\hat{\eta}} \lambda^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \iota \varsigma$.
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．＇H фро́vıноs ${ }^{2} \rho \alpha$ картєрía кат்̀ тòv бòv


АА．＂Eоィкє．



 $\kappa \alpha \lambda o i s{ }^{\alpha}{ }^{\circ} \nu$.

АА. М̀̀ $\Delta{ }_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ ойк еै $\gamma \omega \gamma є$.












 кратєя.
 тои̂ є́тє́ $\rho о v ~ к а \rho т є \rho i ́ a . ~$
$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$. 'A $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon t s$.




$\Sigma \Omega$. Kai $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \phi \epsilon \nu \delta o \nu \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta}^{\eta} \tau o \xi ̂ \kappa \hat{\eta} s \ddot{\eta}^{\prime}$

| $\Lambda \mathrm{A}$. Па́vv $\gamma є$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Kai öбоı à $\nu$ є́ $\theta$ é $\lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ єis ф $\rho \in ́ \alpha \rho$ ката-

of the immortality of the soul, the Theatetus of the nature of knowledge, the Meno of virtue, the Symposium of love, the Philebus of pleasure. These are but a few of Plato's dialogues, but they are some of the best known, and for the most part are concerned with a definite subject. Some of the others could not be so shortly described.

The style of Plato has been described by Aristotle as " midway between poetry and prose." This need not refer merely to the language, but probably alludes, in part at least, to the nature of the dialogues themselves, many of which for their dramatic force may well rank as excellent works of fiction ; and Aristotle would have called fiction poetry.

The language itself is often poetical, but not more so than we consider allowable for prose. As Greek it is of course beyond praise, though a beginner might sometimes wish the sentences to be a little less long, and the constructions a little more free from colloquial irregularities.

The Laches is one of the earlier or Socratic dialogues of Plato ; it is very dramatic. The characters of the dialogue are Lysimachus, son of Aristides the Just, and Melesias, son of Pericles' rival Thucydides ; their two friends Nicias and Laches, Socrates (who is apparently represented as being quite a young man), and two boys, the sons of Lysimachus and Melesias, named respectively Aristides and Thucydides after their grandfathers.

The scene is a palaestra. Nicias and Laches have been with the two fathers to see a ' master of arms,'
named Stesilaus, fighting in heavy armour, and are to give their opinion on the performance. Lysimachus and Melesias want to know whether this exercise would be a suitable accomplishment for their sons, whom they wish to educate as well as possible. Nicias professes his readiness to give advice, but Laches suggests that the opinion of Socrates should be asked, as he is a man who is constantly considering the question of the education of the young. This makes Lysimachus think that this Socrates, the son of his old friend Sophroniscus, may be the man whom he has often heard the boys praising. One of them tells him that he is right in his conjecture, and, after a testimony from Laches to Socrates' good conduct in the retreat from Delium, Lysimachus presses Socrates for his opinion on the subject of "fighting in armour." Socrates modestly says that Nicias and Laches ought to speak first, and accordingly they give their opinions, Nicias in favour of the exercise as an useful addition to the art of warfare, Laches against it as an unprofitable innovation. Lysimachus is sorry to find that they differ, and an appeal is made to Socrates to settle the question by his casting vote. This Socrates will not do, "for," he says, " the question is not what do the majority think, but is there any among us who realiy knows about the matter we are considering, and if so, what is his opinion? The matter we are really considering is what are the requirements of the soul; and if any of us has scientific knowledge of the treatment proper for the soul, his opinion will be valuable ; but to have it he must have studied the subject under
good masters ; if not, it is very unlikely that he will have any skill in the matter, and we shall not believe him, if he says that he has such skill, unless he can show us some practical results of it in the shape of the improvement of his fellow-men. I could not afford masters, and I have not been able to acquire the skill. But let us inquire if Nicias and Laches have it."

Nicias and Laches agree, but the question is not pursued any further in this form, Socrates suggesting that they shall substitute for the inquiry, "Do we know how to improve the soul?" the inquiry, "Do we know the nature of that which is best for the soul, namely, virtue?" And he further suggests that it will be enough for the present purpose to take one part of virtue, namely, courage, and see if they know what that is.

Laches thinks the question an easy one, and defines courage thus: "A man who was ready to keep his place in the rank and resist the enemy, and not run away, would be courageous " (190 E).

Socrates objects that this is at best only a definition of a hoplite's courage, and he explains that he wants a definition of courage in a much wider sense. Accordingly Laches now explains it as " an endurance (or resistance) of the soul." Socrates suggests that such endurance or resistance will be noble only when combined with prudence or wisdom; otherwise it will be harmful ; and that as courage is noble, it will be only sensible endurance that can be called courage. Laches agrees (192 D). "Yet," says Socrates, "a man who is resolute in spending sensibly, or resolute
in refusing unsuitable food to an invalid, is not therefore called brave, nor is a man who resists in war thought more brave when all the advantage is on his side, and he knows it. Nay, when the advantage is on his opponent's side, and he knows it, then is he rather called brave for resisting. And in many like instances we find the greatest courage is the resistance which is combined with a want of prudence. Here, then, we have a contradiction, but we must not give up because of the check. So we will ask Nicias to help in the chase."

Nicias (194 C-D) says that ${ }_{\alpha} v \delta \rho \kappa$ eía had better be defined as a sort of wisdom, and suggests that this will be in accordance with Socrates' usual teaching. "What wisdom ?" he is asked. He answers, "The wisdom to understand things to be dreaded and things not to be dreaded, both in war and in all other circumstances."

Laches objects that we do not call husbandmen brave for knowing about things to be dreaded in agriculture, or doctors brave for knowing about things to be dreaded in disease.

Nicias answers that doctors, as such, know only about health and disease. They may know whether their patient will recover or not, but their professional knowledge does not tell them which is most terrible to him, recovery or death.
" Oh," says Laches, " then your brave man is simply a prophet?"
"No," Nicias replies. "A prophet knows merely what will happen, not whether the future will be terrible or not."

Laches calls this shuffling, but Socrates is inclined to think there may be something in what Nicias says, and so proceeds to question him. "You think that no one can be brave without this knowledge ?"

Nicias assents, and says that beasts and children may be fearless ( ${ }_{\alpha} \phi \circ \beta \alpha$ ), but cannot be brave ( $\left.\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \in i ́ a\right)$.

Laches calls this sophistry ; but Socrates still keeps up his judicial attitude. He proceeds :

Soc. Nicias, you call 'courage' a part of virtue, I suppose ?

Nic. Yes.
Soc. What then are things to be dreaded, and the reverse? future evils and goods?

Nic. Yes.
Soc. Courage, then, is the knowledge or science of good and evil in the future. But can any science be of the future only? Must it not be just as much concerned with the present and the past ?

Nic. It must.
Soc. Then courage will be the science of good and evil whether past, present, or future, and will therefore be the whole of virtue, and not a part only. So our definition was wrong after all, and we must go to school with the boys to learn.

Thus we have no definite answer given to the question, "What is courage ?" Yet an answer is suggested in the conversation, which contains besides many points of interest and instruction. Attention will be called to these in the Notes; and the reader is referred to the Appendix for further remarks on the subject of the dialogue.

The date at which the conversation is supposed to take place must be between the autumn of B.c. 424 , when the battle of Delium was fought, and the summer of B.c. 418, when Laches fell in the battle of Mantineia. Socrates was more than seventy (Apology 17 D) at the time of his trial (B.c. 399), so that he must have been at least forty-five in B.C. 424. Therefore he could hardly have been a young man at any time when the dialogue could have occurred. Plato, however, aims at plausibility rather than possibility in points of chronology.

## THE LANGUAGE OF THE DIALOGUE.

Spectal difficulties occur in the interpretation of every author ; those in Plato are due chiefly to his endeavour to represent in his dialogues the characteristics of actual conversation; yet from the frequency with which irregularities that we should call colloquial occur in all Greek literature, and from the fact that there was no sharp distinction in Attic Greek between the language of careless talk and that of literary prose, it is impossible to say with certainty that any given peculiarity in Plato is the result of a studied negligence. For an exhaustive treatise on these peculiarities the reader is referred to the 'Digest of Idioms' in Riddell's edition of Plato's Apology ; here it will be sufficient to give a short account of some typical points of interest or difficulty in the language of the Laches.

## I. AS TO THE USE OF WORDS.

The following words are used in a somewhat unusual sense : -
$\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ k เ s, ~ ' p e r h a p s, ' ~ 179 ~ в ~ a n d ~ 194 ~ A . ~$
X $\omega$ р ${ }^{\text {s }}$, 'different from,' 195 A.
aủtโка, 'for instance,' 195 в.
€̇ $\pi$ เєเкติs, 'sufficiently,' 200 в.

## II. AS TO THE ORDER OF WORDS.

Hyperbaton, the figure by which a word is for the sake of emphasis put out of its proper place in a sentence, is found in the following passages :-
 where $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \tau \iota$ belongs to $\delta \in i \hat{\nu}$.
 belongs to oủк $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau v \chi \eta \kappa \omega ́ s$.
( $\gamma$ ) $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau i ~ \tau o u ̂ \tau^{\prime} \epsilon i \pi \epsilon s ~ \beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \psi$ as ; 195 A , for $\pi \rho o े s ~ \tau i ~ \beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \psi a s ~ \tau o u ̂ \tau^{\prime}$ $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon s$; and perhaps in
 фav入oтéfous $\mu a \chi$ єital, 193 A , where if $\mu \epsilon \bar{\nu}$ is to correspond to $\delta \epsilon$ it should follow $\beta o \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma t v$.

## THE LANGUAGE OF THE DIALOGUE. xxiii

## III. AS TO COMBINATIONS OF WORDS,

A remarkable combination of particles is that of $\nu \hat{v} v \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ldots$ үáp used to introduce a clause contradicting a foregoing hypothesis that was contrary to fact. See note on 184 D , where the expression occurs, and compare 200 e.

Notice also the combination ci d́pa $\pi$ од入ákıs, 179 в (where see note) and 194 A .

## IV. AS to irregularities of syntax.

These result in general either ( $\alpha$ ) from a wish on the part of the speaker (or writer) to put before the mind of his hearers (or readers) more than the logic of grammar will allow; or (b) from the fact of his thoughts being so concentrated on a particular clause that he forgets its precise relation with the rest of the period.

The former tendency is shown in (i.) Irregular Anticipation, (ii.) Confusion of Clauses, (iii.) Irregular Recapitulation; the latter in (iv.) Irregular Apodosis, (v.) Anacoluthon. Instances of these irregularities will now be given in detail :-
(i.) Irregular Anticipation.
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \nu \epsilon \in \notin \mu a \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad$ ö $\pi \lambda o \iota s \quad \mu a ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, 179$ D.
 struction is, however, little more than an extension of the common figure by which the subject of a dependent sentence is taken out of it and made the subject or object of the principal sentence. (See note on $\tau$ ò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \delta \phi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \ldots$.. olov $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \beta \eta$, 183 д.)

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \not{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda ., 182 \mathrm{E}$.
Here каi $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ anticipates the relative clause and spoils the grammar of the sentence.
(ii.) Confusion of Clauses.
 which is a combination of tivos $\zeta \eta \tau o v ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu$ тoùs $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda o u s ;$

(iii.) Irregular Recapitulation.
 $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{i} \epsilon \sigma \theta a i \quad \sigma \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu 0 \hat{v} \delta \iota \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau 0$ à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu$, кal $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ vv̂v
 $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu, 186 \mathrm{D}$.

## xxiv THE LANGUAGE OF THE DIALOGUE.

 idea of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \delta \dot{\delta} \circ \mu a \iota$ on account of the intervention of the clause $\kappa а \theta a ́ \pi \epsilon \rho \ldots \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu$.
(iv.) Irregular Apodosis.
 178 A. (See note on the passage.)
 186 c.
(v.) Anacoluthon.



 $\kappa о \iota \nu \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, 179$ в.
Here there should properly be finite verbs in the place of




 катє́Хєเข, 184 A .
Here $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \iota$ aúrô̂ suggests that another dative governed by $\epsilon \pi i$ will follow. Instead of that we have a long clause with quite a different construction.


 そ̌ Tเva кáтpov фával єió̇val, 196 Е.
Here the insertion of the words $\lambda$ éovтa... ф́dal thrusts out the word $\xi v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \in i ̂ \nu$ from its legitimate government of $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon \in \nu a \iota$, and their omission would make the sentence quite logical.

ПААTתNOE ムAXHE.

## 

$\ \Upsilon \Sigma L M A X O \Sigma, ~ M E \Lambda H \Sigma I A \Sigma, ~ N I K I A \Sigma$, ムAXH $\Sigma, ~ \Pi A I \Delta E \Sigma ~ \Lambda Y \Sigma I M A X O Y$ KAI MEAH $\Sigma I O \Upsilon, ~ \Sigma \Omega K P A T H \Sigma$.

## ПムAT $\Omega N O \Sigma$ ЛAXHГ.



























 $\kappa \circ \nu \eta \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.
































 $\tau \iota \pi o \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.


















ПАAT $\Omega N O \Sigma$ КAXHE．
180 c $\Lambda \Upsilon . ~ \Pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon t \varsigma, ~ \hat{\omega} \Lambda \alpha ́ \chi \eta s ; ~ \sum \omega к \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o ̈ \delta \epsilon ~$

$\Lambda A$ ．Пávv $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ồv，$\hat{\dot{B}} \Lambda v \sigma^{i} \mu \alpha \chi є$ ．


 Өок入є́ous $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \Delta \alpha ́ \mu \omega \nu \alpha$, à $\nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \alpha \rho!\epsilon ́ \sigma \tau а \tau о \nu ~$

 бкоья．

IV．$\Lambda \Upsilon$ ．Оӥтоı тı，$\hat{\omega}$ इढ́кратє́s тє кай Nıкı́а
 עє由тє́




 $\hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，каi $\pi \rho о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ є́кєivos Є̇тє入єútทбє $\pi \rho i ́ \nu ~ \tau \iota$





 $\kappa \rho \alpha ́ т \eta s, \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ о仑̂̀ є́ки́ $\sigma \tau о т є \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ；

ПAI．Пávv $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ o $\hat{v} \nu, \hat{\epsilon} \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho$ ，ồ $\tau o s$ ．


 $\kappa \alpha i$ боi $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ŋ̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$.





 то́тє тоьойто⿱ $\pi \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$.





 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} \mathrm{s}$ каі оikєious $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta i ́ k \alpha \iota o v ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu$






 $\mu \alpha \theta \epsilon i \nu$ év ö ó $\pi \lambda$ oıs $\mu a ̈ \chi \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta$;






 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \sigma \grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ t o u s . ~ \grave{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}, \hat{\omega}$ Nıкía, тí ov̉ $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ то́тєроя $\dot{v} \mu \omega ิ \nu$;










 тоîs $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ тòv $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$ ó $\rho \gamma$ ávots $\gamma v \mu \nu a \xi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o u$.




















 $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ס̀̇ єiтєiv, єi каi $\tau \omega \quad \sigma \mu \iota \kappa о ́ т \epsilon \rho о \nu$









 каі ঠ̀̀ каі то̀ óт入ıтıкò тойто, | єi $\mu \in ́ \nu$ є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \mu \alpha ́-$
 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota, ~ \chi \rho \grave{\eta}$ av̀тò $\mu \alpha \nu \theta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu^{\prime} \epsilon i \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ้ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon \nu} \mu \dot{\eta}$ $\mu \alpha ́ \theta \eta \mu \alpha, \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \quad \dot{\epsilon} \xi a \pi \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\dot{v} \pi \iota \sigma \chi \nu o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota, \quad \ddot{\eta}$






 $\delta^{\prime}$ éкєívous є่ $\lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \iota, \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủ тoútous $\gamma \epsilon$ тоùs













 тои́тоts oì кä้ aútoì ó $\mu о \lambda о \gamma \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota a \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda o u ̀ s ~ \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$

c VII. "Етєıта, $\widehat{\omega} \Lambda v \sigma^{\prime} \mu \alpha \chi \epsilon$, oủ $\pi a ́ v v$ | ỏ入íyoıs











ПムAT $\Omega N O \Sigma ~ \Lambda A X H \Sigma$.










 $\mu \in \nu o s ~ a ̀ \pi o \lambda \hat{v} \sigma a l$, каi oưX oîós $\tau^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \nu{ }^{\circ} \dot{\eta}$ ठ'є vav̂s












 ${ }_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \tau \tau \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$.

 ôv фабi каі $\pi \rho о \sigma \pi o \iota o \hat{\nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ a u ̛ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ \mu \alpha ́ \theta \eta \mu \alpha, ~}$

ПムAT $\Omega N O \Sigma$ МAXHะ.













 той трокєццє́vov.




 $\kappa \alpha i$ бой, тотє́ $\rho \omega$ тоì $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \circ i ̂ \nu ~ \sigma u ́ \mu \psi \eta \phi o s ~ \epsilon \hat{i}$.
IX. $\Sigma \Omega$. Tí $\delta \alpha i, \hat{\omega} \Lambda v \sigma^{\prime} \mu \alpha \chi є ; ~ \grave{~ о т о ́ т \epsilon \rho ’ ~ a ̂ v ~ o i ̀ ~}$



 $\tau i \quad \chi \rho \dot{\eta} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu}, \hat{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ тoîৎ $\pi \lambda \epsilon i o \sigma \iota \nu$ à $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. $\pi \epsilon i{ }^{\prime} \theta_{o \iota o}$,
 $\pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta є \cup \mu \in ́ v o s ~ к а і ~ \eta ’ \sigma \kappa \eta к \omega ́ s ;$

 ồ $\sigma \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu}$ ；

ME．＂I $\sigma \omega \varsigma$ ．



ME．Пढ̂s $\gamma$ à ouv；
 $\sigma \kappa є ́ \psi \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ \epsilon i \quad$ єै $\sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ тєХעוкòs $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀$ ồ




 $\sigma \tau o \nu ~ o ̈ \nu ~ \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota ; ~ v i \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ \pi o v ~ औ ै ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ グ
 oút

ME．＇A $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$.

ME．Пávv $\gamma є$ ．



 тои́тои；

 छŋтой $\mu \epsilon \nu$ тойs $\delta i \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda o u s ; ~$

ME．Пิิs $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ;$






 $\mu \alpha \nu \theta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta}$;
$\Sigma \Omega$. Пávv $\mu \in ̀ \nu$ ồv, ̂̂ Nıкia. $\dot{\omega} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ őtà $\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$

 $\epsilon \hat{i} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \beta o v \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau о \hat{u}$ фариа́кои $\ddot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $o \dot{o} \phi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$;

NI. Hepi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.




NI. ' $A \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$.





NI. 'А $\nu \dot{\prime} \gamma \kappa \eta$.

 бкотои́цєขоц бкотоиิ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$.

NI. Пávv $\gamma^{\epsilon}$.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \psi \cup \chi \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ т $\hat{\eta}_{S} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \in \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$.

NI. Naí.




 $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu$;





 Nıкіа, є́тєьঠ̀̀ $\Lambda v \sigma i \mu a \chi o s ~ к а i ~ M є \lambda \eta \sigma i a s ~ є i s ~ \sigma v \mu-~$


 סıסабкá入ous oïтıvєs j̀ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma є \gamma o ́ v a \sigma \iota \nu$, oì aútò












ПムATתNOะ $\Lambda A X H \Sigma$.










 $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ עє́ $\omega$ х $\rho \eta \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon к \alpha \grave{\iota} \pi о \nu \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$,


 омси, $\widehat{\omega} \Lambda v \sigma i \mu \alpha \chi є, ~ к а Ө \alpha ́ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ " ै \rho т ь ~ \Lambda \alpha ́ \chi \eta s ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$



Е $\sum \omega \kappa \rho \alpha ́ т \eta s \mid$ oü ф $\quad \sigma \iota \nu$ є́таїєıv $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ то̂ $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha т о \varsigma, ~$






















 тoùs ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho a s$.
XII. АХ. К $\alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s \mu_{\epsilon} \nu{ }^{\prime \prime} \mu о \iota \gamma \epsilon$ оокєî, $\hat{\omega}$ äv $\rho \in \varsigma$,
 $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \tau о \iota o u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є $\rho \omega \tau \hat{\alpha} \sigma$ बai $\tau \epsilon$ каi סıoóvaı $\lambda$ óyov,





 $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тоьоúт $\omega \nu$, каì ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ s каі̀ є่ $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta}$ оi $\pi \alpha \hat{i} \delta \epsilon s$




 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$. à $\lambda \lambda$ ’ ó $\rho \hat{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \epsilon \iota$ ठокє $\grave{\imath}$ Х $\rho \bar{\nu} \nu \alpha \iota$ оӥт $\omega$ тоєєiv.








## МY. Tí $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha, \hat{\omega}$ Nıкía;

XIII. NI. Оӥ цоє ঠокєî̧ єiớval ôть ôs àv




 188 A $\tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu \nu \nu \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon$ § $\hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \nu \tau \iota \nu \alpha \tau \grave{o} \nu \mid \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta o ́ \tau \alpha$












ПАAT $\Omega N O \Sigma$ АAXHЕ.

 тovs $\beta a \sigma \alpha \nu i \xi \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каi $\pi \alpha \dot{\lambda} \alpha \iota \quad \sigma \chi \in \delta o ́ v$ тו
 єैбоוто $\sum \omega \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau o u s ~ \pi \alpha \rho o ́ \nu \tau о \varsigma, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ тєрi $\dot{\eta \mu(\hat{\nu}}$




















 vavtía тои́тov $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu ~ \lambda v \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu \epsilon$, ö $\sigma \omega$ àv ठокク̆



ПААTএNOЕ $\Lambda A X H \Sigma$.




















 $\sigma v \sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon \imath \nu$.

 $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ ó $\tau \iota \delta \epsilon о ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu \delta є ~}$






 ó $\tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \nu$ каі $\dot{v \mu i \nu}$ бокท̂.


 тaıঠєías $\gamma є \gamma o ́ v a \sigma \iota \nu \quad$ グ tivas ä $\lambda \lambda$ dous $\beta є \lambda$ тious















 каі ${ }^{\circ} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ктท́баито. єi $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ аи̇тò тои̃то





ПムAT $\Omega N O \Sigma$ МAXH乏．
190 в $\Lambda \mathrm{A}$ ．＇ $\mathrm{A} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma, \widehat{\omega} \sum \dot{\omega} \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon \varsigma$.


 àuєívous тоıท́ $\sigma є \iota \epsilon \nu$ ；
$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$ ．Пávv $\gamma є$ ．







АА．Фанє้̀ $\mu \in ́ \nu \tau о$ ．
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$.

АА．П$\omega$ s $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ oü ；





$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$ ．＇А $\lambda \lambda$＇ov゙ $\tau \omega \pi \sigma \iota \omega \epsilon \nu, \hat{\omega} \Sigma \dot{\omega} \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \dot{\omega} \varsigma \dot{v}$ $\beta o v ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ．


 $\pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon i s ~ a ̀ ~ \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ a \nu . ~ \hat{\eta} \gamma \alpha ́ \rho ;$

ДА．Kaì $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha$ бì oüт бокєî．
$\Sigma \Omega$. Toûto тoívvข $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \hat{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi є \iota \rho \hat{\prime} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu, \hat{\omega} 190 \mathrm{D}$


 $\tau \epsilon к а \grave{\imath} \mu \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha ́ т \omega \nu \quad \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta \alpha$. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \hat{\omega}$ єimєiv ö $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$, тí є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ả $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ \alpha$.









 на́Х ${ }^{\prime} \tau \alpha \iota \tau$ тоі̧ $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i o \iota s$.

$\Sigma \Omega$. Kai $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ є́ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau i ́ \alpha \hat{v}$ öס $\delta$, ös äv $\phi \epsilon u ́ \gamma \omega \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i o t s ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$;


 є̇ $\pi \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ тoùs тô Aiveiov "i $\pi \pi \pi o u s \kappa \rho \alpha \iota \pi \nu \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda^{\prime}$


 є̇ $\pi \iota \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \nu$, каi єì $\pi \epsilon \nu$ aủтòv єìval $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \omega \rho \alpha$ фо́ $\beta$ о 七。

ПムAT $\Omega$ NOE $\Lambda$ AXH乏．
191 в $\Lambda \mathrm{A} . \mathrm{K} \alpha \grave{\imath} \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}{ }_{s} \gamma \epsilon, \hat{\omega} \Sigma \omega ́ \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \varsigma^{*} \pi \epsilon \rho i \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ єै $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon$ ．каі бѝ тò $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \Sigma_{\kappa \nu} \theta \hat{\omega \nu}$ i $i \pi \pi \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota$










$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$ ．＇ $\mathrm{A} \lambda \eta \theta_{\hat{\eta}} \lambda^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \epsilon \iota$ ．





 тoùs є́v тоîs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \theta \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \alpha \nu$ кıv $\delta u ́ \nu o \iota s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i o u s ~$ o้vтая，каi ö́боı $\gamma \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \nu o ́ \sigma o v s ~ к а i ~ o ̋ \sigma о \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$ $\pi \epsilon \nu i ́ a s ~ \grave{\eta}$ каі $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi о \lambda \iota т \iota к \alpha ̀ ~ a ́ \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i o i ́ ~ є i \sigma \iota, ~ к а \grave{~}$
 ジ фóßovs，à $\lambda \lambda$ à каì $\pi$ рòs є́ $\pi \iota \theta v \mu i ́ a s ~ \hat{\eta}$ ทंסovàs

 à $\nu \delta \rho \in i o u$ ．



## ПАAT $\Omega N O \Sigma$ МAXHE．


 oîmaı，ঠєı 入íà є̀v тoîs aưтoîs тoútoıs．

АА．Па́vv $\gamma є$ ．


 $\mu a \nu \theta$ áveıs ờ $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$ ；

ムA．Oú $\pi \alpha ́ v v ~ \tau \iota . ~$






汶 $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ；

МА．Пávv $\gamma є$ ．




 $\pi a^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$.
$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$ ．＇O $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \gamma^{\epsilon} \sigma^{\nu} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega \nu$.

 $\lambda u ́ \pi \eta$ каì Є̀v äँ ё $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ă $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ \alpha \kappa \epsilon ́ \kappa \lambda \eta \tau \alpha \iota$.

ПムAT $\Omega N O \Sigma$ АAXHZ．
192 в $\Lambda \mathrm{A}$ ．Докє̂̂ тоíviv цои картєрía тıऽ єival тท̂s $\psi v \chi \hat{\jmath}$ ，єi тó $\gamma \epsilon \delta i \grave{\iota} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \alpha a s ~ \pi \epsilon ф \cup к о ̀ s$ $\delta \epsilon i ̂ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ้ \nu$.

 фаivєтаi oü тı $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha ́ \quad \gamma \epsilon$ ，$\dot{\omega}$ є є́ $\gamma \hat{\oplus} \mu \alpha \iota$ ，ка $\rho \tau є \rho i ́ a$

 $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha ́ т \omega \nu$ ท̀ $\gamma \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \dot{v} \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ a \nu$ єìvaı．
$\Lambda \mathrm{A} . \mathrm{E} \hat{v} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ồv $\nu$＇$\sigma \theta_{\iota}$ öт $\tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\prime} \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ ．
 $\kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\eta}$ ．

ムА．Пávv $\gamma є$ ．
D $\quad \Sigma \Omega$ ．Tí $\delta^{\prime} \mid$ ì $\mu \in \tau$ àфробúvŋs；oủ тoúvavtiov таи́тп $\beta \lambda \alpha \beta e \rho a ̀$ каї какойруоs；

АA．Naí．
 oैข какойр уо́ข тє каі $\beta \lambda \alpha \beta є \rho о ́ \nu ;$


 à $\nu \delta$ рєía ка入óv є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$.
$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$ ．＇ $\mathrm{A} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ．
 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \in i ́ \alpha \alpha \stackrel{\alpha}{\nu} \nu$ єौท．

МА．＂Еоєкє．




ПムAT $\Omega$ NO $\Lambda A X H \Sigma$.
 $\kappa \alpha \lambda o i s{ }_{\alpha} \nu$.

АА. Мà $\Delta{ }_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ оикк єै $\gamma \omega \gamma$.



$\Lambda A$. Oúd' ó $\pi \omega \sigma \tau \iota o u ̄ \nu ~ o u ̛ \delta^{\prime} \alpha u ́ \tau \eta$.


 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \phi а v \lambda о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o u s ~ \mu а \chi \epsilon i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \theta$ ' $\hat{\omega} \nu$ avंтós є่ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$,




 $\kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon s$.
 той є́тє́ $о$ к картєрía.
$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$. ' $\mathrm{A} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$.

 тòv ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \cup$ є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \prime \mu \eta s$.

ДА. "Еиоь бє бокєі..
 ä $\lambda \lambda \eta_{\rho}$ тוขòs тє́ $\chi \nu \eta \varsigma к а \rho \tau \epsilon \rho о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha$.
$\mid \Lambda \mathrm{A}$. Пávv $\gamma є$.






$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$ ．＇А $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ oì $\mu$ í $\gamma є$ ．

 тє́Х $\nu \eta$ s aúтò $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau \epsilon s$.

АА．Фаívovтаи．
D
 $\beta \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime}$ ；

ムА．Пávv $\gamma є$ ．

$\Lambda$ А．＇$\Omega \mu$ одоүєіто $\gamma$ а́ $\rho$ ．



АА．＇Еоíкацєข．


玉 $\delta \omega \rho \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\imath} \mid \dot{\eta} \rho \mu о ́ \sigma \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ є $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ тє каì $\sigma \dot{v}, \hat{\omega} \Lambda \alpha ́ \chi \eta \varsigma^{*} \tau \grave{\alpha}$


 $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \epsilon \gamma о \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ．
$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$ ．＇А $\lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \epsilon \varsigma$ ．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Tî ô̂v；ठокєî ка入òv єîval oütws $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s ~ \delta \iota \alpha-$ $\kappa є і ̈ \theta \alpha \iota$ ；
$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$ ．Oúd＇$\dot{0} \pi \omega \sigma \tau \iota o \hat{\nu}$ ．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Boú入є七 ồv 仓̂̀ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \iota \theta \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ тó $\gamma \epsilon$ тобои̂то⿱；




 а $\rho \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \iota s ~ \alpha u ̀ \tau \grave{\eta} \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \sigma i s ~ є ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ à $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ \alpha$.


 каì $\dot{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \nu \alpha \kappa \tau \hat{\omega}$ єi оíт $\omega \sigma i \mid \dot{\alpha}$ vo $\hat{\omega} \mu \dot{\eta}$ oiós $\mathbf{~ в ~}$


 єiтєî̀ ö $\tau \iota$＇̈ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Оúкои̂v，ف̂̀ фì $\lambda \epsilon, ~ \tau \grave{o} \nu ~ a ̀ \gamma a \theta o ̀ v ~ к v \nu \eta \gamma \epsilon ́ т \eta \nu ~$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \chi \rho \grave{~} \kappa \alpha i$ 少 à àıéval．

АА．Паvт $\dot{\pi} \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu$ ．







 $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \beta_{\epsilon} \beta a i \omega \sigma \alpha$ ．




D｜NI．Пол入а́кıs áкク่коа́ боv $\lambda \in ́ \gamma о \nu \tau о \varsigma$ öтı таи̂та
 таитта ঠ̀ к како́s．$^{\prime}$

 бофо́s є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$.


 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \dot{\rho} \sigma o \phi i \alpha \nu \tau \iota v \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \rho \epsilon i \alpha \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$.

ИА．Поíav，̂̂̀ इ＇́ккратєऽ，бофíav；
玉 $\quad \Sigma \Omega$ ．Ойкои̂̀ то́vঠє｜тойто є́ршта̧̣ ；
$\Lambda \mathrm{A} .{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ ．

 $\eta \gamma^{\eta} \in \alpha u ̉ \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ ．

NI．Où $\delta \alpha \mu \omega \bar{s}$ ．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Oи̇ờ $\mu \grave{\eta \nu} \dot{\eta} \kappa \iota \theta \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ ．
NI．Oú $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ ．




 ӓ $\lambda \lambda \frac{\iota}{}{ }^{\circ \prime} \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$.


 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ \alpha s$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Оӥкоид фұбі $\gamma \epsilon$ Nıкías.

 $\delta о \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$.

NI. Oüк, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu о \iota ~ \delta о к є \hat{\imath}, ~ \hat{\omega} ~ \sum \omega ́ к р а т є \varsigma, ~ \Lambda a ́ \chi \eta s ~$
 аưтòs ä $\rho \tau \iota$ тоוoûtos | є́ $\phi \alpha ́ \nu \eta$.






NI. Ou'ठ' ó $\pi \omega \sigma \tau \iota \circ \hat{\nu}$.





 $\lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma \in \iota \nu \tau \iota$.

$\Sigma \Omega$. $\Pi \omega \bar{s} \delta \dot{\eta} ;$







 крєiттоу тє ${ }^{\text {vává }}$;

NI. Ô̂s oûv $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \alpha ́ \nu a \iota ~ \lambda \nu \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, $\tau \alpha u ๋ \tau \dot{u}$ olєı $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \grave{a}$ єìvaı каи оîs $\S \hat{\eta} \nu$;
$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$. Ои̉к ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$.






 $\mu \dot{\prime} \nu \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \epsilon i v a l ~ \forall ै ~ o u ̈ t \epsilon ~ \mu a ́ v \tau \iota \varsigma ~ o u ̈ т \epsilon ~ \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i o s ;$


$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$. "E $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon^{*} \tau^{\prime \prime \nu \iota} \gamma^{\alpha} \rho{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$;









П$\Lambda A T \Omega N O \Sigma$ МAXHZ.













 $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ 白 $\gamma \epsilon$. aúтô̂ ô̂v $\sigma \alpha \phi \in ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \quad \pi v \theta \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$
 $\sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha, \epsilon i \delta_{\epsilon}^{\star} \mu \eta$, $\delta \iota \delta \delta^{\prime} \xi_{0} \mu \epsilon \nu$.


 $\pi u ́ \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$ є́ $\mu о \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota}$ бо̂̂.

АА. Пর̆́vv $\mu \in ̇ \nu$ oùv.


 $\lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ єi้ขaı;

NI. "E ${ }^{\prime} \omega \gamma \epsilon$.
 о́то́тє $\gamma є \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ iatрòs $\mu \dot{\gamma} \tau \epsilon \mu \dot{\prime} \nu \tau \iota \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau u \iota ~$

ПムAT $\Omega N O \Sigma$ АAXHЕ.



NI. $\mathrm{O} \ddot{\tau} \tau \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ồv.
$\Sigma \Omega$. К $\alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \pi \alpha \rho о \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\rho} \rho \alpha \tau \hat{\omega}$ oै $\nu \tau \iota$ оű $\hat{\alpha}^{\nu}$


NI. Ой цоє бокєє̂.











 Nєкіа, то́тєроу бофஸ́тєра фѝs $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ таи̂та єîvaı

 $\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ้$;

NI. Óv $\gamma^{\alpha} \rho \tau \iota, \hat{\omega} \Lambda \alpha ́ \chi \eta s$, єै $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ à $\nu \delta \rho є i \alpha \alpha \kappa \lambda \hat{\omega}$







 каi $\pi \alpha i \delta^{\prime} \omega \nu$ каi $\theta \eta \rho i ́ \omega \nu$. таи̂т' о̂̂v à б̀̀ ка入єîs




 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \dot{\iota} \epsilon \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta S \tau \hat{\eta} S \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} S$.









 ঠıaıpeìv.

АА. Kà̀ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota, \hat{\omega} \sum_{\omega} \kappa \rho а \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \sigma о \phi \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \dot{u}$


 $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha \tau о \bar{\nu} \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma \phi \rho с \stackrel{\eta}{\sigma} \epsilon \omega \varsigma \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \chi є \iota \nu$. ठокє乞̂





ПムAT $\Omega N O \Sigma$ МAXH乏.





 бкотои̂ขтєs;

NI. $\Pi \alpha ́ \nu v ~ \gamma є$.

 кє́кл $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\tau \tau \alpha \iota \text {; }}$

NI. Hîs $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ oü;












 бокєî, $\widehat{\hat{\omega}} \Lambda \alpha ́ \chi \eta s$;
c $\quad \Lambda \mathrm{A} . ~ \Pi \alpha ́ \nu v \mid \gamma є \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho \alpha$, $\hat{\omega} \Sigma \dot{\omega} к \rho и \tau \epsilon \varsigma$.





$\Sigma \Omega$. Toút $\omega \nu \quad \delta \in \quad \gamma \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \in i ́ a \nu$ $\pi \rho о \sigma а \gamma о \rho \epsilon$ ย́єєs;

NI. Kомьой $\gamma є$.



NI. Tò тồo ờ тойтo;







 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$, ö $\quad \pi \eta \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \prime \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$. каі $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota} \tau \grave{\alpha} \mid$ є́к $\mathbf{E}$







 $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ v ~ \tau о \hat{u} \mu \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$. фи́бонєv $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha, \grave{\omega}$

$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$. $\Phi \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$.

 $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu о \mu \in ́ v \omega \nu$ каі $\gamma є \gamma о \nu о ́ т \omega \nu$ є́таїєєь;
 кратєя.



NI. Naí.

 cival.

NI. Пávv $\gamma є$.



NI. "E $\sigma \tau \iota \tau \alpha \hat{u} \tau \alpha$.



 $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha i \stackrel{ }{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota \stackrel{\oplus}{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$.

NI. "Eoıкé $\gamma є$.


 тòv $\sigma$ òv $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu$ oủ $\mu o ́ v o \nu ~ \delta є \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а \grave{~} \theta \alpha \rho \beta \rho ீ a \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$


 $\alpha \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \hat{\eta} \pi \bar{\omega} \varsigma \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma, \widehat{\hat{\omega}} \mathrm{N}_{\iota \kappa i ́ \alpha}$;

ПムAT $\Omega$ NO $\triangle$ AXH
NI. "Ецогує бокєі̂, $\widehat{\omega}$ इ́́кратєs.

 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\pi} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ìs $\gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ каi $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \dot{\epsilon \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha i}$
 $\grave{\alpha} \nu$ бù $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \hat{\alpha}$ єîvaı $\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \sigma u ́ \nu \eta S ~ \hat{\eta}$ סıкаıобúvŋs $\tau \epsilon$


 є́ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega$ ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} \varsigma \pi \rho о \sigma o \mu i \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$;




NI. "Eоєєє.
 $\epsilon \hat{i v a l} \stackrel{\oplus}{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$.

NI. "Eфаиє ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \rho$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Tò סє́ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \bar{v} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ ov̉ фаiveта儿.
NI. Ои̉к єैоккєข.
 $\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$.

NI. Oí фаєьó $\mu \in \theta$.


 єîXov ís т̂̂ $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{a}$ тô̂ $\Delta a ́ \mu \omega \nu o s ~ \sigma o \phi i ́ a ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~$ à $\nu \epsilon \nu \rho \eta \dot{\sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma . ~}$



ПムAT $\Omega N O \Sigma$ АAXHГ.













C $\mid \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho \alpha$ бєí $\theta a \iota ~ \mu a \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.




 таútà ä้ таûт' є̇ $\pi$ oíouv.




 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тои́тои $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\omega}$, avitòs $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ оưк $\grave{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon . \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$
 $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \alpha ́ т \eta s$.






















 єै $\phi \eta$ ov́к $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \nu$ єival $\alpha i \delta \hat{\omega} \kappa \in \chi \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega$

 $\mu \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha к і \omega \nu$ є̇ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ тоьך $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$.





 $\delta \iota a \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. 'А $\lambda \lambda \grave{\varrho} \pi \sigma ı \eta \omega, \hat{\omega} \Lambda v \sigma^{\prime} \mu \alpha \chi \epsilon, \quad \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$, каі̀


## NOTES.

## TA TO؟ $\triangle I A \Lambda O Г O \Upsilon ~ П Р О \Sigma \Omega П A . ~$

Lysimachus and Melesias are two old men who live together. Lysimachus is the son of Aristides the Just, who was himself the son of a Lysimachus; and Melesias is the son of Thucydides the statesman, who was himself the son of a Melesias. (Thucydides the historian was the son of Olorus.)

Nicias and Laches are two Athenians, who have had experience in the command of armies. Of Nicias, who is perhaps the most conspicuous character in the history of Thucydides, it need only be said that he had apparently far too little energy and vigilance and far too much hesitation to be a successful general, that he was exceedingly superstitious, but of great personal courage, and up to the time of his death probably the most highly esteemed man at Athens. Plato perhaps introduces him here as the type of a soldier whose courage was spoilt by the preponderance of the cautious element.

Of Laches, the son of Melanopus, we learn from this dialogue that he was of the deme Aexone, and was engaged at the battle of Delium (в.с. 424). Thucydides (iii. 86) tells us that he in conjunction with Charoeades was sent to Sicily in command of a fleet of twenty ships in B.C. 427 to help Leontini against Syracuse, that in the next year he (being now sole commander, as Charoeades had fallen in battle) forced the Messenians to capitulate and become allies of Athens, and met with other successes, but some reverses. He fell at Mantineia in B.c. 418, where he commanded the Athenian contingent in the Argive army. He may possibly have had the reputation of being an officer of more dash than caution, as he seems never to have been employed as general in any operation of first-rate importance. However this may be, it is obvious that Plato intends to mark a contrast between
the characters of Laches and Nicias as military men: The two were no doubt friends, and Nicias certainly, and Laches probably, belonged to the aristocratical and philo-Laconian party at Athens. Thus Laches was the proposer of the truce with the Lacedaemonians in B.C. 423 , and was associated with Nicias two years afterwards in negotiating the peace called by the name of the latter.

The sons of Lysimachus and Melesias are two boys called respectively Aristides and Thucydides after their grandfathers.

For Socrates, see Introduction.

## CAP. I.

178 A T T $\theta \in \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ v$. The $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ is answered by the $\delta \epsilon$ in ồ $\delta^{\prime}$ ย̇עєка. These two particles may mark almost any degree of contrast in Greek, from the strongest to the weakest, and their force has to be rendered in English in different ways according to the circumstances. Here leave $\mu$ év untranslated and render $\delta \epsilon$ é by 'but.' Below translate тóтє $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ oủk єi'то $\mu \in \nu$, $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \mu \in \nu$, by 'though we did not tell you then, we will tell you now.'
 that the man's name was Stesilaus. The art which he practised and taught seems to have been that of fighting in the full equipment of a hoplite, and if it was complete must have included the use of the sword as well as of the spear, though the latter was the main weapon of the heavyarmed soldier. Most however, if not all, of the parrying would be done with the shield. Athenian soldiers at this time probably underwent very little drilling, but there may have been a spear and shield exercise taught in the palaestrae. In that case, no doubt, no other armour would be used, and the bodies of the combatants would be naked.

Aristotle mentions spears with rounded heads ( $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \phi a \iota \rho \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu a)$ instead of points, which in his time at any rate must have been used in such exercises. It would seem from what Nicias says in this dialogue (p. 182) that men like Stesilaus taught not only this military exercise, but tactics and strategy, and this is confirmed by a comparison of Plato's Euthydemus 271 D foll. and Xen. Mem. iii. 1.
$\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s$. The $\gamma \epsilon$ of course qualifies $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s$ and not $\pi \rho o ́ s$. т $ิ \nu$ тoเov́т $\omega \nu$, neuter.
av̉roîs $\sigma u \mu \beta$ ouncú $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trat, 'asks their advice.' 'Gives them } 178 \text { A }\end{aligned}$ advice' would be aùтoîs $\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta$.
oủk ďv eimotev, 'will not say,' i.e. 'do not wish to say.' The optative with a $\partial \nu$ must here be regarded as a milder way of expressing où $\theta \epsilon \lambda$ गova亢 eiteiv. For its conditional form is not a result of its being the apodosis of $\dot{\epsilon} \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau L s .: ~ \sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta \tau a$, but exists in spite of it. The continuation in the indicative ä $\lambda \lambda a \quad \lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma o v \sigma \iota$ is regular enough. The construction of the following lines from the Alcestis


would be an exact parallel if à $\nu$ ápoc were substituted for ßoú̀єтal $\theta v \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota$. Of course the present indicative will be found in the apodosis after $\epsilon \dot{d} \nu$ in the protasis only in the case of general statements.
 sultor say other things contrary to their own opinion,' i.e. ' but make a guess at their friend's wishes, and in consequence give advice which is contrary to their own opinion.'
 because we thought that you were able to form a decision, and having formed it would tell us your opinion honestly, that we called you to a consultation on the matter of which we will now speak.'
 have been all this time making this long preface is as follows.' $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda a \iota$, like jamdudum and jampridem in Latin, and phrases like il $y$ a longtemps que in French, gives to a present the force of the English perfect and to an imperfect the force of an English pluperfect.

тоvิठє, ' of my friend here,' i.e. Melesias.
 Thucydides after his grandfather.' Notice the omission of the article before the familiar word $\pi \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi \pi o v$. A reference to the note on the Persons of the Dialogue will show that in these two families one out of two names (Melesias and Thucydides in the one case, Lysimachus and Aristides in the other) was given in turn to the first-born of each generation. This was the usual, but not the universal, custom at Athens.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \in \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota$, a deponent form.
 do ; that is, let our sons do what they like now that they

179 A have ceased to be children，but rather to make this the very time for beginning to look after them to the best of our power．＇
$\mu \in \iota \rho a ́ k \iota a ~ \gamma \in ́ y o v \in v . ~ T h e ~ n e u t . ~ p l u r . ~ p r e d i c a t e ~ h e r e ~ a t t r a c t s ~$ the verb into the singular，the more easily，perhaps，because the subject is understood and not expressed．
B $\mu \in \mu \in \lambda \eta \kappa \in ́ v a l$ ，impersonal．Supply $\dot{v \mu i ̂ \nu . ~(S t r i c t l y ~ t h e ~}$ $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath \nu}$ in the sentence is constructed both with övzas and $\mu \in \mu \in \lambda \eta \kappa \in \nu \alpha a$ ．）
$\epsilon \backslash \pi \epsilon \rho$ rıoiv ád入oıs，a common Greek phrase．Cf．such English expressions as＇I saw fifty if I saw one．＇Here translate，＇We thought you as likely as any men＇（meaning ＇more likely than any other men＇）＇to have considered．＇
 trained they would become best，＇i．e．＇what sort of training would make the best men out of them．＇There is a stiffness and cumbrousness about interrogative sentences in English which makes their management difficult，especially in trans－ lation．
 the idea of a result discovered，$\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha_{\kappa} \iota s$ implies that the result is within the bounds of possibility ：cf． 194 A ．

ข์тоцעท์ซоvтєs and тарака入оvิvтєs．These participles are （as Jacobs says）used as if $\ddot{\eta} \lambda \theta 0 \mu \in \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} \dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ had occurred in the preceding paragraph．Anacoluthon is un－ fortunately frequent in Plato，but this instance is particularly harsh．тарака入ои̃тєеs is fut．here．

## CAP．II．

Sŋ́，＇you must know that．＇
 yet acquired a bad sense at the time when Plato wrote．
$\delta \pi \epsilon \rho$ ．It may be said that the antecedent to this is the clause $\pi a \dot{\rho} \rho \dot{\eta \sigma \iota a \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a ~} \pi \rho$ òs vi $\mu \hat{a} s$ ．Translate＇As．＇

 with a double accusative．＇Well，we feel rather ashamed of this before them．＇
 take life easily，as soon as we were out of our boyhood，while
they devoted themselves to the affairs of other people,' i.e. to $179 \mathbf{C}$ public life. Lysimachus means no more than that Aristides and Thucydides never made their sons take any part in war or politics ; for in other subjects they gave them an excellent education. Plato says in the Meno, p. 94 A, ä $\lambda \lambda \frac{1}{}$ 人 $\delta \dot{\text { è }} \delta \grave{\eta}$

 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$ '̇ $\pi a i \delta \epsilon \varepsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$; ' Let us take another,-Aristides, the son of Lysimachus :... did not he train his son Lysimachus better than any other Athenian in all that could be done for him by the help of masters?' (Jowett) ; and in the same

 $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu \alpha i \omega \nu$. This training would however cease with their boyhood. That education should extend through life is an idea that runs through the whole of the Laches.
oû̃o؛ $\mu$ èv oûv, к. $\tau . \lambda$. 'Well, they say that they will comply D with our wishes, but we, as I was saying ( $\delta \dot{\eta})$,' etc.
 The form of the sentence is exactly like that of $\pi \hat{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \nu \quad \theta \in \rho a \pi \epsilon v-$

 mended to us this course of instruction, (telling us) that it was a good thing for a young man to learn to fight in heavy armour.' For the irregularity of the construction see note on the Language of the Dialogue.

 it merely implies that he used, as it were, the imperative mood, not necessarily that he adopted an authoritative tone. The person in question might have said, 'You go and see Stesilaus, and judge for yourselves.'

$\sigma v \mu \beta$ oú入ovs $\tau \in$ kal koเv $\omega \nu$ vús, 'advisers and fellow-inquirers.'

kai $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \omega ิ \nu \not \partial \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$. Lysimachus does not wish to confine the inquiry to the $\delta \pi \lambda о \mu a x i a$; yet he has no notion of determining scientifically what the best training for his sons would be, but is content with the empirical method of collecting opinions on the value of this or that exercise. In other words, he has formed no definite ideas of the qualities he would like to see developed, or of the nature of the subjects in which he would have them developed, and consequently has no data


#### Abstract

180 A upon which to determine what sort of means he is to employ. We shall see afterwards (p. 184 D foll.) that it has hardly occurred to him and Melesias (who is his counterpart) to examine what special competency their counsellors may have to give advice upon the particular question at issue. In the course of the dialogue they are taught that the question cannot be settled so easily, because (i.) it happens to concern the human soul, and is therefore of great importance ; (ii.) only those who know what is best for the soul can give an opinion that is worth anything ; (iii.) our ordinary ideas of any good quality (e.g. courage, the quality that such training may be supposed to cultivate) are vague, and often contradict each other.


## CAP. III.


'A $\bar{\eta} \theta^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \gamma \mathrm{a} \rho$ ol'tı. 'Indeed you are right.'
es, 'for.'
${ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma \epsilon$, ' the thing at least which.'
cis ékeivovs, 'in regard to them ' (Aristides and Thucydides).
ठ̀ $\tau \iota$ av̉тoîs $\sigma \chi \in \delta o ́ v \tau \iota \tau a v ̂ \tau a, ~ \kappa . \tau . \lambda . \quad \sigma \chi \in \delta \delta \nu \tau \iota$ (lit. 'somewhat nearly') qualifies the whole statement, and means that it is to be taken as generally, but not universally true. If the words $\dot{o} \lambda \iota \gamma \omega \rho \epsilon i \sigma \theta a i$ тє каi $\dot{a} \mu \epsilon \lambda \omega \hat{s} \delta \iota a \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ are taken as epexegetical of raûra, we may translate, 'For as a rule what he says of them is really the case, both with regard to their sons and all their private affairs, that they are neglected and carelessly managed.' If, however, we take $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \alpha \sigma \nu \mu \beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota$ oj $\lambda \iota \gamma \omega \rho \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$, к.т.入., together as ' these things happen to be neglected,' etc., we may translate, 'For as a rule it happens in their case that what he speaks of - both sons and their private affairs-are disregarded and carelessly managed.' The last rendering makes the sentence more complete, but the first is more in accordance with Platonic usage. cai $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ 火 $\delta, \alpha$ is meant to be an addtion made by Laches, who does not imply that Lysimachus spoke of any other neglect than that of the education of sons.
 to give you advice about the education of the young men, and yet do not ask Socrates here.'
$\pi \rho \omega ิ \tau o v$. $\mu \dot{\text { cv }}$ ơvта $\delta \eta \mu o ́ \tau \eta v$, ' in the first place, because he is of your own deme.' Plutarch tells us that the
families of Thucydides and Aristides were both of the deme 180 C of Alopece, to which Socrates also belonged. The demes were local divisions of Attica, something like parishes, and of great antiquity. See Grote's History of Greece, part II., ch. xxxi.

тàs $\delta$ เatpıßàs $\pi$ тоoúpevov, 'spending his time.'
Пิ̂s $\lambda$ '́́ $\overline{\text { ess }}$; 'What?' or ' Indeed!' The words express surprise, or slight incredulity.
$\gamma^{\prime} \rho$, 'can it be the case that?' i.e. 'do you mean that?'
Hávv $\mu$ èv oûv. 'Most certainly he has.'
kaì yàp aùtu, к.т.入., 'for he recommended to me lately a music-master for my son.'
 D spoken of with great respect by Plato. He was professedly a teacher of $\mu$ оvб兀к $\eta^{\prime}$, not in the wide sense in which the word included all mental training that was not merely elementary, but in our sense of music. He showed, however, great ability not only in explaining the theory of his profession, but in political and ethical speculations. Socrates himself was a hearer and admirer of Damon, and so was Pericles. It is not certain whether Damon was actually music-master to the latter-Plutarch thinks that he was-but there is no doubt that they were intimate. Plutarch suggests that Damon's profession of music-master was used as a cloak for the instruction that he gave in other matters, and that he was really Pericles' 'trainer and master' in politics. Plutarch calls Damon a sophist (though Plato does not), and the passage in which he speaks of him reads like a reminiscence of Protagoras 316 e, where Agathocles, Damon's teacher, is spoken of in very similar language. The whole speech there put into Protagoras' mouth by Plato ( $316 \mathrm{c}-317 \mathrm{c}$ ) should be read by those who wish to know what a 'sophist' was. More will be said on the subject in commenting on p. 186 c .
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ xaptéf $\sigma$ arov, к. $\tau . \lambda .$, lit. 'the most accomplished of men not only in music, but also in other respects worth as much as you like for young men of such an age to spend their time with.' The addition of óто́бov $\beta$ oú $\overline{\epsilon \epsilon, \text {, к. } . \lambda . \text {., spoils the }}$ exact logical correspondence that we should expect between
 does not now tolerate even such mild anacolutha as this. Translate therefore, 'Who is not only the most accomplished of men in music, but in all other respects as good a companion as you could wish for young men of that age.'
For the construction of $\alpha \boldsymbol{\xi} \stackrel{\rho}{ }$ here cf. p. $182 \mathrm{c}, \mu a \theta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$


## CAP. IV.

 $\tau \eta \lambda \iota к о \hat{\tau} \tau \circ \stackrel{\dot{\eta} \lambda i к о s}{ } \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \dot{\omega} \epsilon i \mu$, the plural number being due to what is called attraction. Cf. Aristoph. Eiccles. 465-

äтє кат' oikiav, к.т. $\lambda$. , 'since we are compelled by old age to live most of our lives in-doors.' For the construction of

$\tau \hat{\varrho} \delta \in \tau \hat{\varphi}$ баvтоиิ $\delta \eta \mu o ́ \tau \eta$. He means himself. (For $\delta \eta \mu o ́ \tau \eta$ see above, 180 c ). The figure of speech is here used with the condescending playfulness of age. It could hardly be used seriously in prose. But in tragedy it is common enough, without, of course, any idea of playfulness. Cf. Soph. Ajax 445-6-

Síkalos $\delta$ ' $\epsilon$, sc. тoûto $\pi o l \epsilon i ̂ \nu, ~ ' a n d ~ y o u ~ a r e ~ b o u n d ~ t o ~ d o ~ i t . ' ~$ The phrase-a common one-might mean in a different context 'and you have a right to do it.'

кai тро́тєроv ékєîvos, к.т. $\lambda$. 'And he died without ever having had a quarrel with me.'
$\pi \epsilon р \iota \phi \in ́ \rho \in \iota$ סє́ тís $\mu \in$ кal $\mu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \mu$, к. $\tau . \lambda$. 'And now that they mention it I remember something'; lit. 'a memory takes me back.' Cf. Herod. vi. 86. 2, oüтє $\mu \in ́ \mu \nu \eta \mu a t ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho \hat{\eta} \gamma \mu a$, oưTє $\mu \epsilon$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota\left(s c . \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \eta\right)$ oủ $\delta \grave{\nu} \nu \epsilon i \delta \in ́ v a \iota ~ \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \mu \epsilon i ̂ ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$. ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ might however be taken as a genitive depending on $\mu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$, ' of them saying,' i.e. 'of something these boys said.')
 Lysimachus is explaining what he means by his $\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \iota s$.
$\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\lambda} \lambda o v s, \delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0\llcorner$, and $\epsilon \in \pi \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ are used as agreeing in sense though not in form with цєєракєа. Yet in 179 A , where the word came next to a verb, we have seen that Plato regarded $\mu є \iota \rho \alpha ́ к \iota a$ as sufficiently neuter to attract the verb

é $\pi \alpha \iota v o v ิ \sigma เ v, ~ s c . ~ a u ̉ \tau o ́ \nu . ~$
$\epsilon i$ тòv $\Sigma \omega \phi$ роvírkov $\lambda$ '́yo七єเv, 'if they meant the son of Sophroniscus.'
 you mentioned on those occasions?' For the form of the expression cf. Eur. Orestes 380
'I (here) am the Orestes, Menelaus, about whom you inquire.' 181 A
 man whom?' etc.
mávv $\mu \grave{̀ v}$ oûv, к.т.入., 'certainly, father, he is.' Lysimachus had put the question to both the boys, but only one, his own son Aristides, makes reply. They take no further part in the conversation. Melesias, again, does not speak twenty words in the whole dialogue, and Lysimachus himself takes no part in the real discussion, which is carried on by three persons only, Socrates, Laches, and Nicias.
op $\theta$ ois, ' you maintain the name of ' (Jowett).
kai $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \omega s$. This qualifies the $\epsilon \hat{\cup}(\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i)$.
кai $\delta \eta$ रू кai öть, ' and above all because.' The whole phrase каi äd $\lambda \omega$ s каi $\delta \dot{\eta}$ каi is a more forcible form of the ordinary $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega s \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha i$. Cf. 187 с.
oikєîa $\tau \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma \alpha$, к.т. $\lambda$., i.e. 'there will be intimacy between us. '
$\mu \eta ̀ ~ a ̉ \phi l \in \sigma o ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon \tau a ́ v \delta \rho o ́ s, ~ ' d o ~ n o t ~ i n ~ a n y ~ c a s e ~ g i v e ~ h i m ~ u p . ' ~$
'̇v $\gamma$ àp $\tau \hat{\eta}$ à ào $\Delta \eta \lambda$ iov $\phi v \gamma \hat{\eta}$. This was in B.c. 424. Laches was not general at Delium, but apparently serving as a hoplite. In the Symposium, 220 E and $221 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{B}$, Plato makes







 eival (in presence of mind). He goes on to describe how Socrates marched along, 'rolling his eyes, calmly contemplating enemies as well as friends, and making very intelligible to anybody, even from a distance, that whoever attacked him would be likely to meet with a stout resistance.' (Jowett's translation.) How far the story here related is true we have no means of judging ; but that Socrates and Laches were together in the retreat, being mentioned as it is in two dialogues, is not likely to be due to Plato's invention. The mention of the battle of Delium in the Laches helps us, as has been said, to fix approximately the date of the occurrence of the imaginary dialogue. For an account of the battle see Thuc. iv. 91-96.
óp $\theta \hat{\eta}$ d̆ $\nu \hat{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$, к. $\tau . \lambda_{\text {. }}$, 'our country would have been pre-

181 B served and would not then have met with such a disaster，＇etc． Laches means the defeat would never have occurred had all Athenians behaved in the battle as Socrates did in the retreat．
 you are now receiving．＇

кai єis тav̂тa єis \＆＇，к．т．${ }^{\text {．，＇，＇and for the things for which }}$ they praise you．＇Two reasons are given for thinking the praise given to Socrates valuable－（1）the high character of Nicias and Laches who give it，（2）the excellence of the qualities to which it testifies．

Xคฑ̂v $\mu \dot{\text { èv } \nu \text { oûv кai } \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ v ~} \gamma \epsilon$ ，к．$\tau . \lambda$ ，＇therefore you ought to have visited us long ago of your own accord＇（av̉róv）．
C vv̂v $\delta$＇oűv，＇but as things are．＇
Gúviodl，from $\sigma u ́ v \epsilon \iota \mu l$ ，not $\sigma u ́ v o l \delta a$ ．It would properly be followed by a dative，but $\gamma \nu \omega_{\rho} \rho \iota \bar{\xi}$ ，which is joined to it，deter－ mines，as being the nearer verb，the case of the objects．
$i \mu \mathrm{Eis}$ ，i．e．Socrates and young Aristides．
$\dot{\eta} \mu \in \tau \in \rho \rho a v$ ，i．e．that of Lysimachus and Sophroniscus．

$\tau \ell \phi a \tau \epsilon$ ；Of course addressed to Nicias and Laches as well as to Socrates．The plural of the second person，whether in verb or pronoun，is never used for the singular in classical Latin or Greek．

## CAP．V．

D＇A入入á，＇Well．＇The word is used in the same reassuring sense by Nicias at the beginning of the next speech．
kal aû d̀ mpoкa入є̂̂ $\pi a ́ v \tau \alpha$ тоเєîv．This refers to Lysimachus＇ desire to be intimate with Socrates．
$\tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon$ ，masc．，referring to Nicias and Laches．
тоข́т $\omega v$ ，neuter，referring to the subject under discussion．
$\alpha\left\langle\lambda \lambda_{0} \pi a \rho a ́, ~ ' d i f f e r e n t ~ f r o m ': ~ c f . ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \alpha ~ \pi \alpha \rho a ́, ~ 178 ~ в . ~\right.$
то́т＇＂$\delta \eta \eta$ has the force of＇then and not till then．＇
 our teach，and both it and $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon L \nu$ are strictly imperfect presents：＇to explain it and try to convince．＇

то́тєроs $\dot{\mu} \mu \omega ิ \nu$ ，＇one of you two．＇
' $A \lambda \lambda$ ' oưס̇̀v $\kappa \omega \lambda$ vét, 'Well, there is no reason why I $181 \mathbf{D}$ should not.'
 the rest of the clause down to $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ roúr $\omega$ form the subject of $\epsilon \dot{v}{ }^{\prime} \chi \chi \epsilon$, and the clause ${ }^{3} \theta \epsilon \nu \ldots \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \eta$ is a continuation of that subject. $\quad \Delta \theta \epsilon \nu$ is equivalent to $\dot{v} \phi$ ' o $v$, and the antecedent to it is $\tau 0 u^{\tau} \varphi$. Translate, 'For it is an excellent thing for young men not to spend their leisure in the other diversions in which you know they are so apt to spend it, but in this exercise, especially ( kai ) as it is one which cannot fail to make them in better condition.'
 their body (bodies) to be better,' taking $\beta \epsilon \lambda \pi \tau 0 \nu$ as an adverb; or we might understand aivooús as the subject to ï $\sigma \chi \epsilon \nu$, and take $\tau \grave{\partial} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ as the accusative of respect, or as an ordinary accusative after it. In the last case $\beta \epsilon \lambda \pi \tau o \nu$ must be taken as an adjective.
kai ä $\mu$ a, 'and at the same time.' This introduces 182 A Nicias' second reason for thinking well of the exercise. The first reason was a double one : it kept boys out of mischief, and, besides, kept them in good condition. He now says that it is truly a free man's exercise, as it teaches him how to fight.
кai $\mathfrak{\eta} i \pi \pi \kappa \kappa \mathfrak{\eta}, s c$. $\tau \in \mathfrak{\chi} \chi \bar{\eta} \eta$. This addition does not add anything to the logical weight of the sentence, but it adds to its rhetorical force by putting the $\dot{\pi} \lambda о \mu a x i a$ on a level with another art, which was confessedly a fashionable one.

ồ $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \dot{a} \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ os $\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau \alpha \hat{l} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. This sentence in full


 which we are the players is properly practised, and the conditions under which we have to play it are fulfilled by those only who exercise themselves in this apparatus of war.'
$\mu$ óvov $\pi$ pòs $\mu$ óvov, 'in single combat.'

àpúvactal aủtóv, 'to make resistance oneself.'
 Nicias here claims too much for the art. Cf. Molière, Le Bourgeois Gentilhomme, act II. sc. iii.: "Mons. Jourdain. De cette façon donc, un homme, sans avoir du coeur, est sûr de tuer son homme et de n'être point tué? Le Maître d'Armes. Sans doute ; n'en vites vous pas la démonstration?" This is

182 B of course burlesque, but there is something of the same humour in Plato's words. Nicias is as extravagant in overrating the accomplishment as we shall find Laches to be in underrating it.
 the science of tactics which comes next in the course.' Stesilaus, like Dionysodorus (see Plato's Euthydemus, p. 271 D, and Xenophon's Memorabilia III. 1), seems to have taught tactics and the whole art of generalship as well as the use of his weapons.

кal таûta $\lambda a \beta \omega \dot{\nu}, ~ к . \tau . \lambda ., ~ ' a n d ~ h a v i n g ~ l e a r n e d ~ t h i s, ~ a n d ~$ become proud of his learning.'
 to the study of all that concerns the conduct of campaigns.' The plural $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$ s $\sigma \rho a \tau \eta \gamma i a s$ is used because generalship is here looked upon as manifested on several different occasions. orpar $\quad$ ria meant the general's art in the widest sense, and, unlike our word 'strategy,' included tactics. This we see from Xen. Mem. III. 1, 6, where Socrates speaks of $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́$ as being a part, though a very small one, of $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma i a$.
C каi $\because \delta \bar{\eta} \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o v$, ' and you will now allow.'
тои́тшע '̇Хо́ $\mu \in \nu a$, 'which are connected with these,' viz., with $\tau \alpha \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha}$ and $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma i a$.

кai кa入à кai.... These words begin the predicate to $\mu a \theta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \cup ́ \mu a \tau \alpha ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha$. $̇ \sigma \tau i$ must be supplied. 'Are (both) good and ...'


 sight seems superfluous ; but Nicias, having said before that $\dot{\delta} \pi \lambda о \mu a x i a$ would probably lead to $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma i a$ in all its branches, and that the $\mu a \theta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$, etc., connected with $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma i a$ are confessedly good, now adds his conclusion that $\dot{\pi} \pi о \mu a x i a$ would probably lead to good $\mu a \theta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ and $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \cup ́ \mu a \tau a$.
av̉тஸ̂, i.e. $\tau \hat{\omega} \mu a ́ \theta \eta \mu a \tau \iota$.
aủcòv aúrov̂, 'than he was before,' or 'than he would be otherwise.'
ei kal, 'even though.'

पáх $\eta$ тos $\delta \in ́$, к. $\tau . \lambda$. , 'but I should be glad in my turn to hear what Laches has to say, if his views on the question are different from mine' (lit. 'if he says anything different from this')

## CAP. VI.

 used emphatically, Plato means an art which has rules and can be taught, and for this we have no single word.
öтєр фабiv, к.т. ג., 'as its teachers allege it to be, and as good an art as Nicias makes it out.'
oi ímเซхขoú $\mu \in v o l$, ' those who profess to teach it as such.'
 nation. See note on the Persons of the Dialogue under his name.
 tions.'



єl' $\tau \iota \eta v$, ' if it were any good.'
§ $\tau \iota$ ¿̀ $\nu \mu$ OÓvtes, к. $\tau . \lambda$. This dependent question (of the same form as that in $179 \mathrm{~B}, \pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{a} a \nu \nu \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon s$, к. $\tau . \lambda$., and that in 179 D , $\tau i \dot{a} \nu \nu$ oûtol $\mu a \theta o ́ v \tau \epsilon s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.) depends on $\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$,
 it impossible to translate the passage ois ovioèv $\ldots$ rò $\pi \pi_{0} \lambda_{\epsilon} \mu o \nu$ literally. Jowett renders it as follows, 'Whose whole life is passed in finding out and practising the arts which give them an advantage over other nations in war.'

ả $\lambda \lambda$ ' oủ тov́тovs $\gamma \epsilon$ тov̀s $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda o u s, ~ к . \tau . \lambda ., ~ ' a t ~ a n y ~ r a t e, ~$ the following truth has not escaped the teachers of the art.'
ékeivol, the Lacedaemonians.
$\pi a \rho$ ' ékeivous ảv $\tau$ is $\tau \tau \mu \eta \theta$ eís. The $\ddot{\alpha}^{\nu} \nu$ is pleonastic, and serves only to anticipate the one that follows. $\tau \iota \mu \eta \theta$ eis forms the protasis to $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha}^{\xi} \sigma \iota \tau o$, and is equivalent to $\epsilon i \tau \iota \mu \eta \theta \epsilon i \eta$. For



кal $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ \tau \omega ิ \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega v$. These words begin the apodosis. каi contrasts $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ with $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i \nu o l s$, not however so strongly as our word 'too,' as it is not implied that the teacher would make much money in Lacedaemon. It is therefore better left untranslated.
 who was honoured at Athens.' Notice the suggestion that the military pre-eminence of Sparta was as incontestable as the literary supremacy of Athens.

## 183 A tolyápтol, 'and that is the reason why ...'

тov̀s $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ' $\dot{v} v \delta \pi \lambda o l s$, к. $\tau . \lambda$. The argument is not conclusive. The Lacedaemonians were so well trained that men like Stesilaus would have nothing to teach them; and they were, besides, most intolerant towards foreigners.
 rather than to the Lacedaemonians.'

## CAP. VII.

ov̉ $\pi a ́ v v$ ỏ $\lambda$ iүous, ' not so very few.' Riddell says that $\pi \alpha ́ \nu v$ here goes closely with ob $\lambda i \gamma o c s$. But see note on É $\gamma \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \gamma$ d̀ $\rho$ каі є $\pi \iota \iota \lambda \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu о \mu \alpha \iota, \kappa . \tau . \lambda ., 189$ c.
 with much skill. He is a man of strong prejudices, but he thinks that he has none; and he now with a great appearance of candour, appeals, as many people do, to 'facts' which have really no bearing on the question. The story of the awkward situation in which Stesilaus was seen on board ship while employing an unusual weapon-though effective enough as tending to throw ridicule on the man-goes no way to prove either the uselessness of $\dot{\pi} \lambda о \mu a x i a$ or his inability to teach it.
 consider the subject now.' Laches half apologizes for the story he is about to tell, as if he had meant to end his speech with oiol $\epsilon i \sigma \iota \nu$.
 seems to be, 'I may, I say, as well put the facts before you, because Stesilans is no exception to the rule that none of these professionals are any good in real warfare,' $̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \ldots$ $\epsilon$ є̇iт $\eta \delta \epsilon s$ means 'as if on purpose.' Jacobs aptly compares Cicero de Orat. i. 20, "nam primum, quasi dedita opera, neminem scriptorem artis (writer of a treatise on rhetoric) ne mediocriter quidem disertum fuisse dicebat," which is obviously an imitation of the passage before us.
$\tau \dot{\alpha}$ ó $\pi \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \alpha$, i.e. the art of fighting in armour.
каíтоь єไs $\gamma \in \tau \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ тávта, к.т.入. 'Now, in all other cases those who win renown for anything are to be found among those who make it their business ; but in this case, it would seem, the professors of the art have been so very unfortunate in it as compared with other men.'
 pose elsewhere, really making an exhibition of himselfthough he did not mean to-in actual warfare.' $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta$ cía is here opposed to the mimic fighting which Stesilaus displayed in the palaestra. In Polybius the word has the technical sense of active service. $\dot{\omega}$ s intensifying $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$ and other positive adverbs is common in Plato.
$\pi р о \sigma \beta a \lambda$ ov́ $\eta \mathrm{s}$, intr., 'having attacked.'
 were usually drawn from the $\theta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon s$, the lowest of the four classes of Athenian citizens (Thuc. vi. 43). What follows may have been a real incident in Laches' Sicilian expedition, but is quite as likely to have happened on a different occasion, or to have been invented by Plato.

סopvסрє́mavov. The weapon was like a halbert, and is described by Caesar, Bell. Giall. iii. 14, "Una erat magno usui res praeparata a nostris, falces praeacutae insertae adfixaeque longuriis (poles), non absimili forma muralium falcium. His cum funes, qui antemnas ad malos destinabant, comprehensi adductique erant, navigio remis incitato praerumpebantur. Quibus abscisis antemnae necessario concidebant." One would imagine that Stesilaus' weapon had a like object, but that missing the cordage it stuck in the mast. If it was used for cutting the rigging it would be of no value except against transports or merchantmen, for Greek ships of war never went into action with their masts standing.
 is in apposition to the subject of $\dot{\epsilon} \mu a ́ \chi \epsilon \tau о$.
 and for the construction cf. Gorgias 448 D , $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda$ os $\gamma$ áp $\mu o c \Pi \hat{\omega} \lambda o s$
 these cases the subject of the dependent sentence is disengaged from it and becomes the subject of the principal sentence. Often it becomes the object of the principal sentence, e.g.


 єü $\chi \in \tau a \iota$ єival and St. Mark i. 24, oidd́ $\sigma \epsilon \tau i s \in \hat{\text {, }}$, where our version has preserved the Greek idiom, "I know thee who thou art."
$\mathfrak{\eta} \delta \epsilon ̀ v a v ̂ s ~ \tau \eta े \nu v a v ̂ v ~ \pi a p \eta ์ \epsilon \iota, ~ ' m e a n w h i l e ~ t h e ~ s h i p s ~ w e r e ~ p a s s-~$ ing each other.'
$\pi a \rho \eta \mu \epsilon<\beta \in \tau \%$. This must mean more than $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \epsilon \iota$ above, and imply 'was clearing.'

184 A $\sigma$ ти́ракоs, the pointed cap of bronze that enclosed the butt end of the spear, and by which the weapon could be stuck in the ground like a fishing-rod.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \sigma \iota \iota \epsilon \kappa \lambda \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 \iota, \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \chi \epsilon a \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \pi \eta \gamma \epsilon \nu$.
-Hom. Il. iii. 135.
"Defigunt tellure hastas et scuta reclinant."
--Verg. Aen. xii. 130.
The $\sigma \tau u ́ p a \xi$ had another use, it served as a weapon of offence if the spear-head broke off. The Romans, seeing the advantage of this, copied the Greek form of spear for their cavalry, their own spears having originally had no spikes at the butt ends. (Polybius vi. 25. He calls the spike by the Ionic name $\sigma \alpha v \rho \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho$.

ท̂v ... vimó, 'was raised by.'
 dative with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{i}$ will follow, but instead of it we have the clause каі ... е̇кєìvo.
$\beta a \lambda o ́ v \tau o s . . . \lambda(\theta \omega \varphi$. This is quite a usual construction of $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. We must translate however, 'having thrown a stone.'
$\pi a \rho \alpha$ тov̀s $\pi \delta 6$ oss, к. $\tau . \lambda ., ~ ' o n ~ t o ~ t h e ~ d e c k ~ c l o s e ~ b y ~ h i s ~ f e e t . ' ~ '$
V $\sigma \omega \mathrm{s} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ô̂v, к. $\tau . \lambda$. 'Now possibly there may be some good in this exercise, as Nicias says; but however, my experience has been pretty much as I have told you.' oûv has almost exactly the same force in both clauses. In the first it makes a show of setting aside Laches' experience in view of the possibility of Nicias being right; in the second clause it sets aside conjecture for fact. 'Of course, in spite of all of this, Nicias may be right ; but for all that, I have told you the result of my experience.'

## CAP. VIII.

 is an art with such very little use, or whether they falsely assert and pretend that it is an art, it is not worth while to try to learn it.' The construction is illogical, but need offer no difficulty. It is commonly explained by an ellipse of $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \ell$ roûro, 'what I said at the beginning is this, that'... It would be simpler to say that $\delta_{\tau \iota}$ is pleonastic ; in reality we have two clauses each depending on the other, though not simultaneously. The construction of the first is forgotten by the speaker as soon as the second is reached.

кal үàp oîv $\mu \mathrm{ol}$ Sokê. After this we have first ${ }^{2} \nu \ldots 184$ B $\gamma^{\epsilon}$ vooto and then $a \nu . . . i \sigma \chi \epsilon l \nu$. The latter verb depends on бокє $\hat{\text {, }}$, which is however parenthetical in relation to the former. Strictly both verbs should be in the same mood.

 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi$ ! $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \iota$ aü $\eta \eta$.
 The insertion of the accusative reflexive pronoun is more rare in Greek than its omission is in Latin. Cf. however Hero-


 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{e} \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$. Also Plato, Symposium $175 \mathrm{c}, \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀$

 $\dot{\epsilon} \hat{a} \nu . S$ See Gorg. 474 в for a similar reflexive use of the accusative of the first person as the subject of an infinitive. The ordinary practice when the subject of the infinitive needs to be expressed and is the same as that of the principal verb is to insert auto's in the nominative, and in the number and gender required as in the famous instance $\mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \ldots$ оú ${ }^{\prime} \phi \eta$ aủтòs $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ’ '̇кєìvov $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \epsilon i \bar{\nu}$. aủrós would of course be quite out of place in the passage before us, but aưTo $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is not much
 much more than a subject ; accordingly aùró used to be read, but on no good authority.

Opacítepos d̀v su' aùtó, к.т.入., 'he would become more venturesome on account of it, and so more clearly display his real character,' ${ }^{\prime}$ i.e. the man would venture into danger which he would otherwise have avoided, and when the moment of peril came be overwhelmed by fear and show what a coward he really was. The word $\theta p a \sigma$ ís has often a bad sense.


 èv oîs oivv óv̀acat, ulueîral. Below (§ 12) he says, oi $\mu \grave{v} \nu$ $\theta$ paбeîs

 Aristotle's treatment of the whole subject of à $\nu \delta \rho \in i a$ see Appendix.

филатто́иєvos, ' being watched.'
 to very ill-natured criticism.'

[^1]184 B $\dot{\epsilon} \pi\left(\phi \theta_{0}\right.$ ovos $\gamma$ áp, к. $\tau . \lambda . . \dot{\epsilon} \pi t \phi \theta$ ovos is of course here used in the passive sense, 'liable to be disliked,' and the remark is an extremely true one. Laches however does not see that it really makes against his main argument, for he is prejudiced himself.
C $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, 'so that.' The word may be followed by the indicative (as here) or the infinitive.
 remarkable degree excel other men in valour.' There is some difficulty about the phrase $\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau \partial \nu \nu$ ö $\sigma o \nu$. It is commonly explained as short for $\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau \delta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ö $\sigma o \nu$ ('it is wonderful how much '), and the same explanation would apply to $\dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \chi \alpha{ }^{\dot{\alpha}} \nu \omega$ is (Rep. 527 E ; Phaedr. 263 D ), and to the Latin "'mirum quantum." On the other hand ö́os, unlike quantus, is not an interrogative word, and though it is used in dependent ques-
 this explanation cannot possibly apply to the idiom $\epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \in \nu$ $\alpha \dot{u} \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \tau \alpha$ ठ̈ $\sigma a$ or to the expression in Herodotus iv. 194, a $\phi \theta$ ovol $\delta \sigma \sigma o l$. Much more satisfactory is the explanation (and this seems to be Riddell's) which explains the $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \sigma\end{aligned} \mathrm{o}$, etc., as a condensation of a strictly relative clause. Thus $\pi$
 thing wonderful in its extent,' a $\phi \theta$ ovo ơ örot, 'unlimited in number,' $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau a$ ö ö $\alpha$, 'very many in number,' and so on. Cicero's "nimium quantum" and Horace's "Vino et lucernis Medus acinaces Immanequantum discrepat," cannot beeasily explained in any other way, and "mirum quantum" at least admits of this explanation. The words öcos or oios in this idiom are attracted into the case of the adjective that they qualify. Where that adjective is in the nominative the attraction of course cannot take place, and where the adjective is accusative neuter (as in the passage before us) the attraction will not be noticeable. A good instance of the attraction is found in Rep., p. 350 c,
 өavuaбтồ örov. It must be admitted that in Plato's time the idiom may have been to a certain extent a mechanical one, and misunderstood by the Greeks themselves, and also that the Latin idiom may be no more than a reproduction of the Greek idiom, possibly misunderstood. See Riddell's edition of the Apology, pp. 193, 194.
 art.'

тotav́rך $\tau เ \varsigma, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. ., 'such is my opinion, Lysimachus, about studying this art.'
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ '̇ $\tau$ L. The first of these words ('as it were ') warns us 184 C that a metaphorical expression is to follow. It may belong either to $\tau 0 \hat{v} \delta \iota a \kappa \rho \iota \nu о \hat{\nu} \tau$ os or to the whole sentence that depends on $\delta о к \epsilon \hat{\imath}$. '̇ंть belongs to $\delta \in \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, and is put out of its place as in
 боф'́тє $\rho o s ~ \tau o \hat{v} \delta \dot{\delta} о \nu \tau o s ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a l$, where ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \iota$ belongs to $\sigma о \phi \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho o s$.

ขv̂v $\delta \in \in$... yáp. The passage in which these words occur is usually printed thus, $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon}-\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ėvaviià $\gamma \dot{\mu} \rho$, $\dot{\omega} s \dot{o} \rho a ̂ s, ~ \Lambda a ́ \chi \eta s$ Nıкía $\ddot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \tau о-\epsilon \hat{u} \delta \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \chi \chi \in \iota$.... But Riddell has well observed that $\nu u ̂ \nu \delta \dot{\delta} \neq \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, like $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, forms one phrase. He says that "this combination is always preceded by a hypothesis of something contrary to facts, and is parallel to the Protasis of that sentence, which it contradicts. The $\delta \dot{\text { è }}$ and the $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ exercise a simultaneous force; $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ represents that the condition stands differently in fact from what it is in the supposed case" (here the condition in the supposed case was the agreement of Nicias and Laches), "and $\gamma$ à $\rho$ further represents that the inference must be different .... We are not to look to a sentence beyond to supply a clause to the $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \epsilon$ " (Riddell's Digest of Idioms in his edition of Plato's A pology, p. 176). Riddell quotes, together with the present passage and others,

 $\ldots \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \dot{\text { c }}$ ou $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ '̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$. 'If I had money I would have assessed my penalty... but as it is I have none.' The force of the rá $\rho$ cannot be given in English.

§ŋ́, 'so.'

## CAP. IX.

Ti Sai, к.т. . 'What! Lysimachus, do you intend to adopt whatever opinion the majority of us maintains?'

Tí $\gamma$ àp áv $\tau$ is kaì motot.. 'Why ( $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ) what would you have a man do?" The каi implies that Lysimachus does not acknowledge that he ought to do anything else than decide by a majority; without the кal the phrase would be an appeal for advice.
käv $\epsilon \mathfrak{l}$ тıs. This ${ }^{2} \nu$ prepares the way for the one that follows. We have already had instances of this, pp. 182 в and 183 A .
 E the following words contain one of the main lessons of the

184 玉 dialogue，which is this，that on any given subject a consensus of uneducated opinion is worth nothing against the judgment of those who are by their training qualified to decide upon the subject．Bacon（Nov．Org．i．77）goes so far as to say， ＂Pessimum enim omnium est augurium，quod ex consensu capitur in rebus intellectualibus，＂which is，of course，an exaggeration．On the other hand，in so far as educated opinion means the opinion of a class or clique，it is liable to contain special errors．The opinion of the lay public is valuable as tending to criticise and counteract these errors．
útó，as we say＇under．＇
$\eta$ グ $\sigma \kappa \eta \kappa \omega$ s．The word is used absolutely ：＇（who happens ．．．） to have practised．＇
185 A tov̀s $\delta^{\prime}$ à $\lambda \lambda$ ov̀s $\epsilon \in \hat{a} v$, ＇and leave the others alone．＇The phrase is a common one．It is sometimes varied by the addi－ tion of रaipec $\nu$ ，as in p． 201 в．

خ̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\jmath} \sigma \mu к \kappa \rho \circ \hat{v}$ ol̀ $\epsilon \theta \epsilon \epsilon, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．，＇or do you and Lysimachus imagine it to be a small matter that you have now at stake， and not rather that possession which，as it happens，is the most important of all you have？For，I imagine，according as a man＇s sons become good or bad，so will the character of his whole household correspond in each case to that of his
 such a way，＇oiк $\dot{\sigma} \epsilon \tau a \iota$ being passive．The verb is often used with $\pi$ ó̀ts and oikos in this colourless way．
 of just now．＇The allusion is to 184 D foll．，$\kappa d \nu$ el $\tau \iota s \pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ á $\gamma \omega \nu \dot{L}$ as тồ vítos，к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．
âp＇oủx ó $\mu a \theta \omega \stackrel{ }{ } \nu$, к．$\tau . \lambda_{0}$ ，＇will it not be the man，＇ete． Socrates does not answer the question，$\pi \hat{\omega} s . . . \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa о \pi о \hat{\nu} \mu \in \nu \not \partial \nu \nu$ ， к．т．入．，in the form in which he asked it．
 should we not first ask what the thing was whose instructors we were trying to find？＇Here，as if almost by accident， Socrates hints that it is not the professional warrior who will know most about exercise，but a man of higher qualifications． For the important question，as we shall see below，is the effect of the exercise on the mental and moral character． There is a mixture of two constructions，$\tau(\nu)=$ S $\eta \tau \tau 0 \hat{\mu} \mu \nu$ roìs

$\pi \omega ิ \begin{aligned} & \lambda \\ & \lambda \\ & \text { éfes ；Whenever Socrates＇interlocutor asks him to }\end{aligned}$ explain himself it must be taken to mean that Plato feels that the subject is one of difficulty．The subject is generally
 к．т．入．）with the purpose of arresting the reader＇s attention； then follows the $\pi \hat{\omega} s \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota s$（cf． 190 E ），or the oúк ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \mu \alpha 0$ o（＇I don＇t understand＇），which gives an opportunity for further explanation．（SeeRep． 438 a and b．）It should be observed that many points are thought difficult by Plato that are not difficult to us；while，on the other hand，we often find in his dialogues what seem unwarrantable assumptions；but in the latter case we are to understand that where a point is passed over without discussion there is as a rule nothing that would have been controverted by Plato＇s contemporaries．In 189 E Socrates volunteers an explanation of an obscure sentence．

## CAP． X ．

${ }^{2} \Omega \delta \epsilon \ell ้ \sigma \omega s \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o v, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．＇Perhaps it will be clearer if I put it thus．It does not seem to me that we have begun by determining what the subject of our deliberation and inquiry is，when we ask which of us has skill to deal with it，and has had masters to teach him about it，and which has not．＇

фарна́коv，＇ointment．＇
v́тa入єíфєбӨaı，med．，＇to apply it to himself．＇
$\pi \epsilon \rho ो \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \quad \dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} v$ ，i．e．it is considered what effect the ointment will have on the eyes，not what effect the eyes will have on the ointment．So also of the horse and bridle below．

то́тє $\pi \mathbf{r} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，＇then，I imagine．＇
ধ゙veká тov，＇for the sake of something else．＇
 not the subject of $\sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon i \nu$ ．
 inquiry we are making．＇
$\tau \eta ิ S ~ \psi v x \eta ̂ s$ हैveka．Here it is assumed as the most natural thing in the world that the exercise is intended as a training to the moral character rather than to the physical frame．In the Republic（iii．p． 410 B and c）Plato says，＂＇Neither are the two arts of music and gymnastic really designed，the one for the training of the soul，and the other for the training of the body．＇
＇But what is their real object？＇
＇I believe，＇I said，＇that the teachers of both have in view chiefly the improvement of the soul．＇
＇How can that be ？＇he asked．

185 E 'Did you never observe,' I said, ' the effect on the mind of exclusive devotion to gymnastic, or the opposite effect of an exclusive devotion to music?'
'In what way shown?' he said.
'In producing a temper of hardness and ferocity, or again of softness and effeminacy,' I replied." (Jowett's Translation.)

Englishmen do not talk of athletics being good for the 'soul,' but they obviously regard them as good for the character. 'Gymnastics' or even 'athletics' in the narrow sense are not nearly so useful in this way as any thing in the nature of a game or contest, which is less fatiguing-" molliter austerum studio fallente laborem"-and a much better relaxation for the mind.

El' tis «́pa $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}, ~ к . \tau . \lambda$. 'We must consider then if any of us is an expert in the treatment of the soul, and is able to manage it well, and which of us has had good masters.'
 Here again, as in 183 c foll., we find Laches, true to his character, appealing to what he thinks is proof, but what is really prejudice. What he says is true enough as far as it goes, but the fact proves nothing, as it is extremely rare compared with the vast number of instances to the contrary. If $A$ without learning to box can beat $B$ who has learnt, it does not prove that B has not improved by the training, or that A would not improve by it.
"Еүшүє, 乞̂ $\Lambda \alpha ́ \chi \eta s, ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~ ' I n d e e d, ~ L a c h e s, ~ I ~ h a v e ; ~ b u t ~ I ~$ am sure you would not take their word that they were good workmen, unless they could show you at least one piece of good work produced by their own skill.'
186 A Tov̂тo $\mu$ èv $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s, ~ ' T h e r e ~ y o u ~ s p e a k ~ t h e ~ t r u t h . ' ~ \alpha ' \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$, which is plural, cannot of course agree with rov̂to. That word is an accusative governed by $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}-\lambda \epsilon \hat{\gamma} \epsilon \iota s$.

## CAP. XI.

 construction of these words.
 The words are ordinarily taken to mean 'if we say that we have houd teachers,' a sense that they cannot easily bear.
 $\epsilon i ' \delta \epsilon ́ \tau i s$ to correspond to $\epsilon i \mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ above, and $\epsilon \chi \chi \epsilon \nu \quad \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i \nu \nu$ to carry out the construction of $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, especially as that construction is
resumed below with $\kappa \in \lambda \in e^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$. If however we regard the $\mathbf{1 8 6}$ B
 the irregularity need not present any difficulty.

каíтoı $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta u \mu \hat{\omega}$. The present here receives, as it were, from


бoфıбтais. The Sophists were paid teachers of rhetoric and what they called $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \dot{\eta}$, meaning by this that they imparted general improvement or 'culture.' They were not a philosophical sect or school, and there was no system of morals taught by them as a class. Further, there were many eminent and virtuous men among their numbers. On the other hand, since they taught rhetoric they were bound to teach the nature of fallacy for the purpose of refuting it, and were aptpartly from a confusion of thought-to teach not only its nature but its use. Hence the name 'Sophist' began soon to acquire a bad sense, and thus Aristotle draws a distinction between the Sophist and the true rhetorician. The former, he says, uses fallacies as well as fair arguments; the latter understands both, but uses fair arguments only.

The Sophists had a bad reputation with the mass of Athenian citizens. This was, no doubt, in part due to their being mostly foreigners, but there were other reasons for their unpopularity :-
(i.) They taught for money.
(ii.) They were very clever men.
(iii.) They taught young men to be wiser than their fathers either actually or in their own opinion, and thus made them less amenable to authority.
(iv.) There were no doubt bad men among them who disgraced the profession by boldly teaching the use of specious fallacy.
It will be clear that the second and third reasons here given would account equally for the unpopularity of Socrates and Plato. And no doubt Socrates and Plato would often by their enemies be called 'Sophists.' This could be the more easily done as the word had the older and more honourable meaning of philosopher lingering on by the side of its more usual modern application in which it was used in a neutral sense of a particular class of men, though that neutral sense was fast passing into a bad one. The Platonic Socrates speaks of the Sophists in the passage before us with gentle irony, and he is not always so indulgent; but we see that the real Socrates had a high opinion of many of these teachers, especially Damon, and he often recommended them to others. (See 180 c for the case of Damon, and cf. 200 d and Xen.

186 C Mem．iii．1．）But both he and Plato were markedly dis－ tinguished from this class of professional teachers by the fact that they never took pay for their teaching，nor attempted to give anything like a technical education．Yet young men－ like Critias and Alcibiades－went to Socrates in the hopes of gaining from his dialectic such argumentative skill as might be useful in the law courts and the Ecclesia，and this gave colour to many misrepresentations of Socrates－notably that by Aristophanes in the Clouds－as the teacher of＂how to make the worse appear the better cause．＂

ка入óv $\tau \epsilon$ кảja日óv，＇a good man．＇To understand this phrase we must remember that aja日bs did not necessarily convey an idea of moral excellence any more than $\kappa a \lambda b$ s． R．L．Nettleship in Hellenica（p．172）well observes－＇The word＂good＂has so many meanings and associations in English that it is important to be clear as to the particular sense in which its Greek equivalent was used by Plato．That sense is perhaps most simply and most clearly illustrated in the familiar expressions，＂What is the good of a thing ？＂and ＂What is a thing good for？＂，It was therefore quite as easy to a Greek to use＇beautiful＇in a moral sense as to use ＇good＇in a moral sense．In many phrases we use＇beauti－ fully＇as a synonym for＇very well．＇
 shouldn＇t be surprised if Nicias has discovered it，＇meaning， ＇If I were told that Nicias had discovered it．＇Cf．Cratylus，
 өavuá̧olll，and for another form of irregularity in the apodosis see the beginning of this dialogue， 178 A ，tad $\nu \tau$ s aữoîs $\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon u ́ \sigma \eta \tau a l$ ，oủk d̀ $\nu$ єỉmotє $\downarrow$ d̀ $\nu 0 o v ิ \sigma \iota \nu$ ．

> סŋ́, 'so.'
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{v} v$ oûv $\ddot{\partial} \lambda \lambda \alpha$, к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．Notice the delicate way in which Socrates hints that Nicias and Laches cannot both be right．
 of you in my turn．＇
 the speaker had forgotten that he had said at the beginning of his sentence roũto ．．．oov ধ̀ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ à à $\nu \tau$ tó́opat．Such irregular redundancy is extremely common in real conversation．
$\lambda \epsilon$＇yov proceeds to give the actual words that he would have Ly－ simachus say．
 above．
 éxd́тєpos. Since modern Englishmen do not use the word -thou' the exact form of the Greek can hardly be given except in some such way as follows: 'But, sir, Nicias as well as Laches, you must tell us each of you,' etc.
 taken up by public affairs.' For the construction of $\dot{i \pi \delta} \dot{6}$ as if after a passive verb cf. 180 D , кат oikiav $\tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$


خ̀ ả aфóтepa, ' or in both ways.' For this adverbial use of
 $\tau \rho о \phi \hat{\eta}$ ท̉ $\alpha \mu \phi \dot{\partial} \tau \varepsilon \rho а$.
$\gamma \in \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ótє ... סóte. Notice the combination (by no means an unusual one) of dual and plural. With $\gamma \in \gamma=\nu \dot{o} \tau \epsilon$ repeat $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i-$ $\sigma \tau a \sigma \theta o \nu$.
$\epsilon i$ yà $\nu v \hat{v} \nu . . . \quad$ dup $\rho \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$. This future, followed by an apodosis in the present, must be translated by an English future, "For if you are going to start their education now."


## B

 have each a separate force here, the words ovi ì $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ Kapi ... $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ being as it were parenthetical. Translate ' You must beware lest you make a dangerous experiment, not on the proverbial Carian, but on your sons and the children of your friends.' 'To run the risk in the person of a Carian' was a proverbial expression for risking anything that was comparatively worthless. It apparently arose from the fact that the Carians often served as mercenaries, the loss of whom would be but little considered as compared with that of citizen soldiers. Cf. Euripides, Cyclops 654 -and Plato, Euthydemus 285 в and c, ढ̈orep èv Kapi èv émoi

а่ $\tau \in \chi \nu \omega \bar{s}$, 'precisely.'
 gives it at greater length in the Gorgias 514 E , $\boldsymbol{\text { ò }}$ 入eróucvov òn
 meaning would be to begin a study at the wrong end ('to learn to run before you can walk,' as we somewhat inaptly put it). The $\pi i$ ios was the largest kind of wine jar, and it would of course be usual to begin learning pottery on small vessels where breakage would be less costly.
oű фатє, 'negetis.'

## CAP. XII.



C kail $\delta$ © $\delta$ óval $\lambda$ óyov, ' and to answer.'
av̉тoùs $\delta \dot{\eta}$. The $\delta \dot{\eta}$ gives emphasis to the pronoun.
é $\mu$ ol $\mu$ èv $\gamma a ́ \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. The natural order would be $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu \gamma$ रá $\rho$
 that should answer the $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ never comes.

кal $\dot{d \lambda \lambda \omega}$ кai. For the more usual $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$. Cf. 181 A.
 enough to be educated,' i.e. to be educated for political life and military service. The boys were probably about fourteen years old, and it is to be supposed that they had already been well trained in the ordinary music and gymnastic.

סเסóvtes tє кal $\delta \in X o ́ \mu \epsilon v o l ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v, ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~ C f . ~ \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a l ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~$ above ( 187 c ).
$\pi a \tau \rho o ́ \theta \epsilon v$, ' from your knowledge of his father.'

 note.

Ti $\mu$ á入ıбтa, lit., 'Why especially ?' i.e. ' what makes you say that?'

## CAP. XIII.

$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma^{\epsilon} \hat{\nu} \epsilon \mathrm{L}$. It is impossible to translate these words as they stand with any tolerable result; and, if we may go against the authority of the mss. here, it is simpler to reject them altogether than to alter them, especially as the rhythm of the sentence is much improved by their omission, and they are precisely what may have been added by a commentator who thought the phrase є́ $\gamma \gamma \dot{\prime}$ тãa ... $\hat{\eta}$ 入ó $\gamma \omega$ (' is closely connected with Socrates in talk') too metaphorical. If we retain them we must suppose that Nicias is represented as explaining his own metaphor. But to explain one's own metaphors is to confess them inadequate.
éàv ápa kal．For the force of the ápa see note on ci $\delta^{\prime} a^{\prime} p a 187$ E $\pi$ тл入а́кıs， 179 в．
＇$\mu \pi \varepsilon \epsilon \sigma \eta$ ，＇he is forced．＇
тò Sıסóval．．．$\lambda$ óyov here means＇giving an account．＇
övтเva тро́тоv，к．т．$\lambda$ ．A dependent question explanatory of $\pi \epsilon p i ̀$ aúrov̂．With the second övzıva we must supply a second $\tau \rho \grave{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ у．
ßaravion，＇examines．＇There is not necessarily any allu－ 188 A sion to torture，which is not implied in the primary meaning of $\beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$ os．
 B done to men by Socrates，Xenophon is constantly insisting in his Memorabilia．
$\tau \grave{v} \nu \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \mu \eta े ~ \phi \in บ ́ \gamma o v \tau \alpha . ~ \tau a \hat{\tau} \alpha a$ means cross－examination by Socrates．

кaтà тò $\tau 0 \hat{v} \Sigma{ }^{\circ} \lambda \omega v o s$ ．The line referred to is this－ $\gamma \eta \rho a ́ \sigma \kappa \omega \delta^{\prime}$ alєi $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \delta ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s$.
vov̂v＇EXov，＇bringing sense with it．＇
à $\eta \theta \in s$ ov̉ $\delta$ ’ â̂ á $\eta \delta$＇́s．This is probably a play upon words．
$\pi \alpha ́ \lambda a \iota \ldots \tau \iota \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu$ ．Here，as there is no reference to a continued state，$\pi$ ádal does not give to the imperfect－as it otherwise would－the force of a pluperfect．

 to prevent me，for my part，conversing with Socrates in the way that he wishes．＇For the use of $\tau \dot{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \rho^{\prime} \nu$ ，which is nearly equivalent to $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon}$ ，cf．＇A $\pi \lambda o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \delta \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\rho} \nu$ below at the beginning



पáхךта סè тóvסє ठора，к．т．入．Cf，note on 183 D ，тò $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ бóф८б $\mu a$ ．．．otov $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \hat{\beta} \eta$ ．

## CAP．XIV．

 have only one mind about conversation，or，if you like to put it so，two minds．＇
©s $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega}$ s ővtos $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta$ pós，＇who is really a man．＇For $\omega$ s $\dot{d} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~s}$ see 183 D ．
каi коцıঠท̂ $\mu$ о九 бокєî，к．т．入．，＇and a man like that seems
D to me to be really musical，and to have tuned in the best

188 D of scales not any lyre or pleasant instrument of musicno, but actually to live with his own life tuned so that his words make no discord with his deeds; tuned not in the Ionian, nor, I think, in the Phrygian or Lydian scale, but simply in the one Greek scale, the Dorian.' The construction of the clause is somewhat interrupted by the insertion of $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ oै $\nu \tau \iota \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$, which spoils the grammar.
ápuoviav. This word must not be translated 'harmony,' which it does not mean, and of which the Greeks were probably ignorant, but 'scale' or ' mode.' We recognise two genera of scales which we distinguish as (i.) the chromatic, and (ii.) the diatonic. The Greeks recognised three genera, the 'chromatic,' 'diatonic,' and 'enharmonic..* Further, while we divide the diatonic scale into two species or modes, called major and minor, which differ from each other in the arrangement of the tones and semitones, the Greeks divided it into seven such species or modes, viz. (i.) Mixolydian, (ii.) Lydian, (iii.) Phrygian, (iv.) Dorian, (v.) Hypolydian, (vi.) Ionian or Hypophrygian, (vii.) Aeolian or Hypodorian-differing from one another in pitch as well as in character. Of these the Lydian corresponded to our major, the Aeolian to our minor seale. They all would seem to have been of Asiatic origin except the Dorian. That mode may be represented on the piano by a scale of eight notes, which runs from $\mathbf{E}$ to $\mathbf{E}$, but is played entirely on the white notes.

In the Republic (398 D foll.) Plato speaks very decidedly of the influence of the various modes on the moral character, and is for rejecting, all the modes except the Dorian and the Phrygian. Aristotle too in his Politics, though he dissents from Plato, and thinks that every mode can be used appropriately on the right occasion, yet draws a sharp distinction between the Dorian and the others. Of the Dorian mode he says, $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \delta \hat{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \delta \omega \rho \iota \sigma \tau i ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \epsilon s$
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \in \hat{\imath} o \nu$. He thinks it therefore especially suitable for the education of the young. The Phrygian mode was wild and rousing, the Mixolydian melancholy and suited for dirges, the Lydian and Ionian soft and convivial. It seems curious to us that it should have been natural to the Greeks to regard such differences as so obvious and so important. We must infer that the effect of music upon the Greeks was stronger and more definite than on an average it is upon us. Mahaffy (Old Greek Life, p. 55) says, "The modern Chinese

[^2]have the same beliefs" (as the Greeks) "about the moral 188 D effects of music."

 the article, as seen in the passage of Aristotle quoted in the last note.

т $\hat{\nu} \nu \mu$ èv $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega v$. Here $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ should not be translated, as it merely gives emphasis to $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$. It is followed by $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ instead of $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$. The contrast between 'tprov and $\lambda$ óros is one of which the Greeks seem never to have tired.
$\pi \rho o ́ t \epsilon \rho \circ v$, 'first,' i.e. before I had experience of his words.
«گ̧เov ővтa $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ ка入ิิv, к.т. $\lambda$. , 'a man whom noble words and all boldness of speech would well become,' or 'who deserved to have a command of noble words and all boldness of speech.'

кal тоиิто '́Xєь. The тоиิто means the noble words and 189 A boldness of speech.

 Solon, making one addition only to his verse.'


 our ages in the least.'

## CAP. XV.

$\tau \grave{\alpha}$ í $\mu \in ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a: ~ c f . ~ \tau \grave{~} \epsilon \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu, 188 \mathrm{c}$.
$\mu \grave{~ o u ̉ X ~ ย ̈ т о ч \mu a ~ є i v a l . ~ T h e ~ o u ́ ~ i s ~ i n s e r t e d ~ b e c a u s e ~ t h e ~ v e r b ~}$ aircaбó $\mu \in \theta$ was preceded by a negative. 'When an infinitive would regularly be negatived by $\mu \dot{\eta}$-either in the ordinary way or to strengthen a preceding negation-if the verb on which it depends has a negative, it generally takes the double negative $\mu \grave{\eta}$ oủ. Thus סíкaıóv є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta}$ тoûтov $\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon i ้ \nu a \iota$, it is just not to acquit him, becomes, if we negative the leading
 him .... Again, єïpरєє $\sigma \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau 0 \pi o \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, he prevents you from doing this, becomes, with єi'pyєı negatived, oủk єilpyє $\sigma \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta}$ oủ тои̂то $\pi$ oteiv, he does not prevent you from doing this ' (Goodwin's Greek Grammar, p. 309).

## NOTES.


бко́тєь, 'inquire.' For this sense of the word cf. Soph. O. T. 285-286-
$\pi a \rho ’$ ov̂ $r t s a ̈ \nu$
$\sigma \kappa о \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\delta} \delta^{\prime}, \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \xi, \dot{\epsilon}^{\kappa} \mu \mu \dot{\partial} \theta o \iota ~ \sigma a \phi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$.
$\sigma \cup \mu \beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon \cup \epsilon$ apparently here means 'join in giving (us) advice.' It would be more satisfactory if it could mean ' consult with,' but this meaning is confined to the middle voice.
 that I forget most of the questions I mean to ask, and most of the things I hear ; and if a new topic is started in the middle of a discussion, my recollection is not very perfect.' He means that he forgets every word, oú $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu$ by a litotes being really on occasions a very strong form of denial. But it is only by a litotes that it is so, its literal and proper meaning being 'not altogether.' (See Riddell's Apology, pp. 171, 172.)
D $\pi \epsilon \rho i \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o v ̉ \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$, for $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\tau$ oú $\omega \nu$ ä $\pi \rho o \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$, 'on the subject we proposed for our discussion.'
 Strict accuracy would require tav̂̃a, unless oia instead of ä had preceded.
 a transition-in reality somewhat an abrupt one-to a new subject. The question of the possession of knowledge about the soul, and of the proofs of possessing that knowledge, are shortly dismissed, and there is substituted for it the question, 'Do we know what goodness (or 'excellence') is ?'

The questions that any one would have to answer before constructing a perfect system of education would be somewhat as follows:
(i.) What do we wish to make of human nature?
(ii.) What means are to be used to produce that effect? or, in Plato's language,
(i.) $\tau \ell \dot{\iota} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \in ́ \tau \eta$; $(190$ в $)$.
 and 190 A and B ).
Any one who can answer these questions aright can train the soul ; and further it is impossible for any one to answer question (ii.) without being able to answer question (i.) Hence the inquiry is to be, 'What is the nature of virtue or excellence in general ?' Subsequently ( 190 c and D) it is further restricted to the question, 'What is the nature of courage in particular?'
 thing, more fundamental.'
 to know about anything, that, if added to something, it makes that thing to which it was added better, and if, further, we are able to cause it to be added to it, it is clear that we know the thing itself, about which we should be giving advice as to how any one might acquire it most easily and best,' i.e., 'for if we happen to know that a thing is improved by acquiring a certain quality, and, further, are able to make it acquire that quality, it is obvious that we know the nature of the quality itself, since it is about it, and the best and easiest means of aequiring it, that we should, in the supposed case, be giving our advice.' The want of abstract and philosophical terms makes the Greek difficult. The use of technical language in questions of morals and logic was hardly thought of as yet. On the other hand, Plato probably intends to be somewhat obscure here. See next note.
 on $\pi \hat{\omega} s \lambda$ 白 $\gamma \epsilon \iota s ; 185$ в) that Plato thinks the subject difficult.
 struction by which the subject of the dependent clause is separated from it, and made a part (object or otherwise) of the main clause, has already been noticed. The expression 'to know what a thing is ' means to be able to give an exact definition of it. According to Aristotle, in defining we have to show $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ovoial $\ddot{\eta} \tau \grave{\partial} \tau i \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, 'the essence or what a thing is,' that is to say, if we are defining a thing, and not merely explaining a name, we must mention the attributes which the thing has as such-not necessarily all its attributes, but those from which the others may be deduced. Thus Euclid finds it sufficient to define 'triangle' as 'a figure contained by three straight lines,' this being what constitutes a triangle, or the essential property from which all its other properties may be deduced. The word 'essence,' from the Latin 'essentia,' a translation of ovoia, is unfortunately now popularly used to mean 'extract,' a sense in which it was employed by the alchemists. In philosophy it has no such meaning; 'the essence of courage' does not mean merely the important part of courage, but the whole of courage as it really is, stripped of all accidental circumstances which may accompany it, but which do not really belong to it.

## CAP. XVI.

 we then to start with knowing ... ?'

тоט́тov $\sigma$ ט́ $\mu \beta$ ovגol, 'advisers on this point' (i.e. ö $\pi \omega s$ d $\partial \nu \ldots$ $\kappa \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha \iota \tau 0)$.

$8 \gamma_{\varepsilon} \ell \sigma \mu \in \nu$, 'since we know it.'
$\pi \lambda$ éov रà ${ }^{\text {l' } \sigma \omega s \text { 'épyov, 'for that would, perhaps, be too long }}$ a business.'

$\dot{\eta}$ év roîs ठ̊ $\pi \lambda$ ols $\mu a ́ \theta \eta \sigma$ เs is equivalent to $\dot{\eta} \mu a ́ \theta \eta \sigma \iota s ~ \tau o \hat{v} \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ тoîs öтлоıs $\mu a ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta a t$.
$\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega}, 2 n d$ sing. pres. imperat. med.

## CAP. XVII.

oủ $X a \lambda \epsilon \pi \dot{\partial} v \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i v$. The oú is repeated. Notice that Laches finds the subject very easy, because he has never thought about it, and therefore overlooks its difficulties. Consequently (as is so often done by those who think questions easy) he does not, as Socrates says below, answer the question put to him, but another question that was not asked.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' そँ $\sigma \omega s$ є́ $\gamma \omega$ à altıos, к. $\tau . \lambda$. , 'but I daresay it is my fault, because I did not use clear language, that your answer was not about the thing which I meant in my question, but something else.' Observe the mock humility of Socrates, and also the obscurity of the sentence (cf. 185 в note, and 189 E ). With regard to the construction Riddell says that the use of the accusative of the infinitive to express the result is common in negative clauses, but seems to be confined to them, p. 150.
191 A 'Eyc̀ $\gamma \circ v \hat{\nu} \phi \eta \mu$ i. 'At any rate, I call him so.'
Kal үàp é $\gamma$ ต́, ' Yes, so do I.'
 that ...?'
$\alpha ̉ \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀, \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{v} \omega \omega \nu$. We should say, ${ }^{6}$ and not standing his ground,' or 'instead of standing his ground.'

חิิs $\phi$ é́yตv; "What do you mean by "who fights running away"?'
 found in $I l$. viii. 105 foll., where Diomed says to Nestor-




The first three of these lines occur also in Iliad v. 221 foll. The argument in the text is not to be taken seriously. There is no allusion to 'Parthian' tactics in $\phi \hat{\epsilon} \beta \in \sigma \theta a u$, and $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \omega \rho a$ $\phi \dot{\beta} \beta$ o七o ('contriver of fear') can only mean that Aeneas spread terror among his enemies. Plato is fond of these punning arguments from Homer, in which he probably meant to ridicule certain far-fetched interpretations that were fashionable at that day.
 you say about the Scythians refers to cavalry.'
 quiet irony in this remark, as Laches regards the Lacedaemonian practice as the standard of military excellence. See 182 e foll. The stratagem of which Plato here speaks is not related by any other writer, and is indeed not consistent with the account given in Herodotus ix. 61 foll., who represents the $\gamma \in \rho \rho \rho a$ of the native Persians as stuck in the ground for a barricade, and overthrown by a charge of the Lacedaemonians. By the $\gamma \epsilon \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \circ \phi \dot{\rho}$ pol Plato means the native Persians, who had adopted the wicker shield, and, in fact, the entire military equipment of the Medes. See Herodotus vii. 61 and 62. The $\gamma \in \rho \rho \rho \circ \circ \phi \dot{\rho} \rho o l$ are mentioned as forming part of Artaxerxes' army in Xenophon, Anab. i. 8. 9.
 $\mu a ́ \chi \in \sigma \nexists a l$, 'returned to the charge like cavalry.'

## CAP. XVIII.

 'This then I called the reason (saying) that I was the reason you did not answer well, because I did not ask you well,' i.e. 'this then was the thing I meant when I said it was my fault that your answer was not to the point, because I put the question badly.' Without the aícop, roûto roivvy
 meaning when I said,' and accordingly Jacobs rejects the ailtov, and other scholars substitute $\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \iota$ for it. But there

191 C is no warrant for either alteration-the sentence can be made to construe as it stands-and if anything has been inserted by a later hand than Plato's it is most likely to be the words ör七 $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ ailcos, from the passage in 190 E , to which the present passage refers. It should be added that Riddell explains the
 comma after $e^{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ \nu$ ) as an instance of cognate accusative after an adjective. Accordingly, it may be presumed, he would have given the meaning somewhat as follows, 'This then I meant by the responsibility for your not answering to the point, which I said that I had incurred by putting my question badly.'
ßoùó $\mu \in v o s$ үáp. Socrates begins this sentence with a view of showing how he put his question badly, but he interrupts himself at the end of this speech by the words, eiซi $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$
 resuming contents himself with showing how he ought to have put his question so as to make the meaning clear to Laches.
kal ठ̈бol $\gamma \in \pi \rho o ̀ s$ vórous. It would have been more satisfactory if the enumeration of the circumstances in relation to which $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho e i a$ is possible had stopped here. On the other hand, we must not forget that we ourselves use 'brave' in two perfectly distinct senses. For instance, we call a man brave for bearing pain well when he has the pain, and therefore cannot fear it in itself ; but we also call him brave if he cheerfully submits to an operation to rid him of the former pain. But the qualities praised in each of these cases are perfectly distinct. Secondly, divopeios corresponds to our word 'manly,' as well as to our word 'brave.' Nevertheless, the consideration of physical courage, in the sense of readiness to face coming pain or danger, would have been a subject quite wide enough for the dialogue. Aristotle would have said that the man who behaves well in the face of poverty and political difficulties, or resists desire and the incitements of pleasure, is only metaphorically $\mathfrak{a} \nu \delta \rho \in i o s$. See Appendix.
 from Socrates' previous question, and translate, 'Well, what is each of these qualities that they possess? that is what I meant to ask.'
$\alpha^{2} \delta \delta \rho \in i \alpha a v \pi \rho \omega ิ \tau o v$, i.e. $\delta \epsilon i \lambda i ́ a$ is to be discussed afterwards. The subject is never reached, except in so far as it is treated by implication in the discussion about courage.
 tion, for ôva, lit. 'being what it is the same in all these things.' However, as $\tau i{ }^{\prime} \partial^{\circ} \nu$ is the interrogative part of the sen-
tence, and as literary English will not allow the interrogation 191 I to be thus introduced, we must translate as if we read $\tau i$ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota$ тoúroıs taúzòv oै $\nu$, ' what is it in so far as it is the same quality in all these relations?' As shown by what follows (cf. note on $\pi \hat{\omega} s \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \mathrm{s} ; 185$ в, and 190 E ), Plato thinks that his readers may find the subject a difficult one to understand.

## CAP XIX.

 to ask what quickness is as a quality which we may display alike in running, playing music, speaking, learning, and in many other things; in fact, it may be said that we have the quality, as far as it is worth mentioning, either in the performances of our hands, our legs, our mouth and voice, or our mind. Do you not agree with me?'

Ei toivvv $\tau$ is $\mu \epsilon$ Époıто. Socrates now represents the 192 A question as put to him, not by him, which enables him to give the answer to it with greater rhetorical effect. The skilful method in which Plato manages this transition is worthy of study.


$\pi \in \rho$ l, ' in relation to.'
'Op日ज̂s $\gamma \epsilon \sigma v \grave{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega$. 'Quite right of you.' Cf. Charmides p. 156 A, and p. $162 \mathrm{E}, \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s \gamma \epsilon \sigma \dot{\nu} \ldots \pi o \iota \omega \nu$, where we may translate, 'I am very glad that you do,' and Rep. $474 \mathrm{~A}, \kappa а \lambda \omega \hat{s} \gamma^{\prime} .$. $\epsilon{ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \pi 0 \iota \omega \bar{\omega}$.

Пєьрิ̂ $\delta \dot{\eta}, \kappa . \tau_{0} \lambda$. 'So you must try now, Laches, to tell me in the same way what single faculty courage is, alike under the conditions of pain and pleasure and all the others that we enumerated just now, so as to be called by one name.' From $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i a \nu$ (put into the main clause by a common figure already commented on more than once) supply $\dot{\eta} \alpha \dot{a} \nu \rho \epsilon \in \dot{i} \alpha$ as the subject to oī $\alpha$, which we must construe as a finite verb, making $\kappa \epsilon \in \kappa \lambda \eta \tau a \iota$ subordinate to it. See note on $\tau \dot{i} \partial{ }^{\circ} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota$ тoúroıs tav̉тóv $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu(191 \mathrm{E})$ where the construction is the same as here. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha a \sigma \iota \nu$ ois is for $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \ddot{\alpha} \pi a \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oís. Lastly $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau \alpha a$


картєріа тьs, 'a sort of endurance,'
єi $\tau$ ó $\gamma \in \delta \iota \alpha ̀ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega v$, к.т. $\lambda$., 'if I am to say what is the nature of courage in all these cases.'

 we are to give ourselves an answer to our question.' тò | $\epsilon$ |
| :---: |
| $\rho$ | $\boldsymbol{\omega} \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu \nu$ is a cognate accusative. Stallbaum says that this construction is only possible with neuter participles (as here) or neuter pronouns, and that with còv $\lambda$ óyov, for instance, $\pi p b s$ would be required.

roivvv, 'further.' Socrates is of course about to overthrow Laches' definition. Yet he does not start as if he meant to overthrow it, but only as if he meant to add clearness to it. Laches had defined courage as a sort of resolution ; Socrates asks what sort.
 what is going to be stated), i.e. 'and this is my reason for thinking so.'
$\sigma \chi \in \delta \partial ̀ v \gamma$ áp $\tau$ ı oi $\delta a$, 'I am pretty nearly sure.' The $\gamma$ d $\rho$ is not to be translated here, for it introduces the very subject which is indicated by $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon$, and is therefore not here inferential like our 'for.' It is more like our colloquial 'you know.' The $\tau \iota$ of course belongs to $\sigma \chi \in \delta \delta \nu$, which it qualifies.
 follows is-As endurance combined with wisdom is noble, and endurance combined with folly is base, then since courage is noble, courage must be the former endurance and not the latter. Then Socrates goes on to point out (192 E foll.) that often endurance (or resistance) combined with prudence is not courage, and that often a man is more readily called brave for doing an action contrary to the dictates of discretion or unaided by knowledge than for doing an action which discretion commends or knowledge makes easy. Thus Socrates confronts Laches with the difficult question of the relation of the intellect to moral goodness - or in this special case to one particular virtue-and proves to him that he has never really thought over the question.

Ti $\delta$ ' $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \in \tau$ ' áфpoovov $\eta s$, ' And what about the courage that is combined with a want of wisdom?'
 so, Socrates.'

## CAP. XX.

F. $\hat{\eta} \dot{\eta}$ єis ä $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$., 'Or shall we say that it is the endurance which is prudent in relation to all things both large and small?'
 （New Phrynichus p．408）points out that this is the true reading，and consequently $\pi \lambda \epsilon \circ \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon i \nu$ must be added to the verbs that use indifferently the med．or act．form of the future．Bekker＇s reading $\pi \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \neq \downarrow$ èк $\kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$（fut．perf．），＇will possess more，＇does not give a satisfactory sense here，though it has been adopted by most editors．$\pi \lambda$ éov кт $\dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a u($ fut．）， ＇will get more，＇would be preferable．
＇A $A \lambda$＇oîov e＇$\tau$ Is iatpòs $\tilde{\omega} v$, к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．，＇But suppose that a man who was a doctor，when his son or some other patient was suffering from inflammation of the lungs and kept begging him to give him something to eat or drink，did not yield，but resisted ？＇

Oư8＇ó $\pi \omega \sigma \tau \tau 10 \hat{v} v$ où $\delta$＇avirn，＇That wouldn＇t be courage 193 A

 quality ка $\boldsymbol{\rho \tau \epsilon р і \text { i．}}$

єіठо́та $\mu \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．If the sentence is regular we must say that the $\mu^{\epsilon} \nu$ is out of place，and is to be translated as if it followed及опөヴゥoval．
Xwpia，＇positions＇or＇fortresses．＇
 by Laches＇answer．The passage is a good instance of the way in which the meaning＇or＇passes into the meaning＇than．＇
$\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta$ ．Notice that this word is here used in the same B sense as $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta$ s at the end of the section．Diogenes Laertius says that Plato recognized three kinds of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta-$
（i．）$\pi о \iota \eta \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$ ，which makes visible things，e．g．shipbuilding，
（ii．）$\pi р а к т \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$ ，which acts，but does not make visible ob－ jects，e．g．harp playing，
（iii．）$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ ，which reasons and knows，but does not make or act，e．g．geometry ；
and three kinds of $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta$－
（（i．）That which collects or fetches，but does not make
A new things，e．g．mining and wood cutting，
（ii．）That which makes the raw material into something new（ $\mu \in \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ خ $\tau \in ́ \chi \nu \eta$ ），e．g．carpentry，
$B$（iii．）That which uses things，e．g．the art of the musician．
Now，it is obvious that divisions A and B of $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta$ corre－ spond to divisions（i．）and（ii．）of $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \mu$ ；and the only $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \chi \nu \eta$ to which Plato would have refused the name of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$ would be such fetching of material as required no skill at all． Hence we have no word wide enough for all the senses of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$ ，for science is strictly only $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$ ，and such

193 B phrases as＇the appliances of surgical science＇are inac－ curate，for it is＇art，＇not＇science，＇that＇does＇or＇makes．＇ Unfortunately＇art＇is now seldom used in English，except in the sense of＇fine art＇（music，painting，sculpture，etc．）；and the word＇craft，＇which is otherwise a very fair equivalent for $\tau \epsilon \chi \chi \eta \eta$ ，is slightly archaic．
C $\mu \eta$ övтєs $\delta \epsilon เ v o i ́, ~ ' w i t h o u t ~ b e i n g ~ c l e v e r ~ a t ~ i t . ' ~$
Фalvovial，＇Clearly they do．＇Here one would have thought the argument might have ended．It is quite obvious that there is a contradiction in admitting that，while courage is a
 $\phi \rho о \nu i \mu \omega s$ ка $\rho \tau \epsilon \rho о ⿱ ⺈ \nu \tau \epsilon s$.
D＇$\Omega \mu$ олоүєito үáp．＇It was indeed（allowed to be so）．

## CAP．XXI．

Oủk dipa mov，к．т．入．＇Then we are not tuned，you and I，in the Dorian mode you talked of，Laches，for our deeds are not in tune with our words．＇The allusion is，of course，to Laches＇ speech，ch，xiv．
 fessedly brave in action．It seems curious that Plato should make Socrates thus praise himself，but Socrates＇ valour was beyond all question，and he is besides identifying himself with Laches．Further，the assertion is not so direct as the co－ordinate structure with $\mu^{\prime} \nu$ and $\delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ would at first sight make it appear．Translate，＇For though people might say，I believe，that we have discovered some courage in our actions，I don＇t think they would say that we have discovered it in our words，if they heard us conversing now．＇

 truth of what we are saying up to this point？Laches．Up to what point do you mean，and what is it that we are to believe？Socrates．Why，the principle that bids us have endurance．＇The reference is probably to the statement that $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ фроע $\dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ картєрia is ка入̀े ка่ $\gamma a \theta \dot{\eta}$ ．It is true that such a definition is not the same thing as an exhortation to картєрia， but in morals the transition is easy from the statement of approval of certain actions to the command to perform them． For the idea that courage is necessary in philosophical speculation compare Rep．，bk．ii．，p． 357 A，ò үà $\rho$ Г $\lambda a u ́ \kappa \omega \nu$ dंel

 showing in the discussion a quality akin to the quality dis－

 $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \nu \epsilon \in о \nu \tau \alpha$ каi $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu \phi \theta \in ́ \gamma \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，＇for I fear there may be a sin，when justice is evil spoken of，in standing by and failing to offer help or succour while breath or speech remain to me＇ （Jowett）．In this passage also there is the idea of holding out and not giving in，and it should be noticed that ámópépotv in the first passage is a substantive exactly corresponding to $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \gamma o p e v e r v$ in the second．In our dialogue we have kindred ideas expressed by $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \phi i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota ~(194 \mathrm{~A})$ and d̉vtéval（194 в）．

єi đupa то入入ákıs，＇if after all we should find that．＇ 194 A It has already been said in the note on 179 в，$\epsilon i \delta^{\prime}$ äpa $\pi о \lambda \lambda$ áкıs
 discovery of a result，while $\pi$ о入入ákıs hints at its possibility． Cf．Phaerlrus，p． 238 c－d，where Socrates playfully says，$\tau \hat{\psi}$



## ＂тоьноs，sc．єiцí．

$\mu \eta$ गроaфi $\sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a l$ ，＇not to abandon the inquiry pre－

 $\sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota, \pi \rho i \nu$ d̀ $\nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau a \chi \hat{\eta} \sigma \kappa о \pi \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \pi \epsilon i \pi \eta \eta \tau \iota s, \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \mu a \lambda \theta a \kappa o \hat{v} \epsilon i \nu a \iota$ à $\nu \delta \rho o ́ s$.
 has come upon me on hearing（ $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime} s$ ）what you have said．＇
 leaves the question of fact rather more doubtful．
 to express my meaning＇（Jowett）．
 more definite the metaphor that Laches has，unconsciously as it were，introduced in the words $\delta \iota \in ́ \phi u \gamma \epsilon \nu$ and $\grave{\xi} \nu \lambda \lambda a \beta \in i v$. Everyone must have met with instances of metaphors thus developed in ordinary conversation．
àvtéval，absol．，＇to give up．＇

## CAP．XXII．

 cussion are described under a fresh metaphor，for which



194 C тג̀ ．．．ท́ $\mu$ и́тєрa：cf． 188 c．
kal aủт̀̀s d voєîs тب̣̂ $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \varphi{ }_{\omega} \beta \in \beta a i(\omega \sigma \alpha$ ，＇and establish your own view by thus expounding it．＇
D По入入áкıs áкท́коá бov $\lambda$ є́ үоvтоs，к．т．$\lambda$ ．Socrates probably overestimated the importance of the intellectual element in moral virtue as much as we now tend to underestimate it．Socrates＇words however as given by Nicias are not ＇wisdom is goodness，＇but＇a man is good at just the things at which he is clever，and bad at just the things about which he is ignorant，＇a maxim with which nobody could strongly disagree（see note on ка入óv $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\gamma} \alpha \theta$ óv， 186 c ）．Xeno－ phon，in his Memorabilia iv．6，11，relates that Socrates said， ＇Those who know how to behave properly in reference to dangers and risks are brave，and those who have not this knowledge are cowards．＇But when asked whether courage came by teaching or nature，he replied that one man was naturally braver than another，but that courage could be increased $\mu \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ каi $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\tau} \eta$（Mem．iii．9．1－3）．

тav̂тa $\delta \grave{\text { k }}$ какós．Notice the repetition of the $\delta \dot{\text { é }}$ ，which cannot be literally translated．
 ठ̀s，$\pi \epsilon \tau \tau \epsilon \cup \tau \iota \delta \delta \nu$（see next note）；Theaetetus 180 B ，тoioıs $\mu \mathrm{a} \theta \eta \tau \mathrm{q}$ îs， ஸ̄ $\delta a \iota \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \epsilon$ ；and Aristophanes passim（e．g．Ach．62，тоіоv $\beta a \sigma i \lambda$ éws）for the scornful sense of $\pi 0$ ios．Here，as Socrates takes it in his answer as being genuinely interrogative，we may translate，＇What sort of cleverness，Socrates，I should like to know？＇
巴 ov̉ $\gamma$ áp $\pi$ ov \＃ु $\gamma^{\epsilon}$ aủ $\eta \tau \tau \kappa \eta$ ，＇I suppose，at any rate， it is not cleverness in playing on the pipe＇（not＇flute＇）． The suggestion is ironical ；but such allusions to the arts， ironical or otherwise，were very characteristic of Socrates． See Xen．Mem．i．2．37．The angry $\pi$ oîov ．．．$\pi \epsilon \tau \tau \epsilon \cup \tau \iota \delta \quad \nu$ ； quoted in the last note，is drawn from Critias in the Charmides by a question from Socrates whether the knowledge which according to Critias makes its possessor perfectly happy is a knowledge of the game of draughts．

Пávv $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ oûv óp日जिs，к．т．$\lambda$ ．＇Now，that is exactly the right way to question him，Socrates，and he must tell us what sort of knowledge it is that he calls it．＇
 science＇．．．．
195 A Прòs тí тоv̂т＇єĩєє $\beta \lambda$ é $\psi a s$ ，к．$\tau . \lambda$. ，＇What makes you say that，Laches？＇（Jowett）．

Пpòs © TL ；＇Do you ask what？＇
$X \omega p i s$, 'different from.'
Oükovv фףбі $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ Nıкías. 'Well, Nicias says not.'
Oủ $\mu$ évтol. Supply $\phi \eta \sigma i$ : 'Yes, so he does, and that is just where his folly lies.'

## CAP. XXIII.

áтофฑิval, 'to prove that you are so.'
aủtika, 'for example.' "Nam ut statim exemplum afferam" (Stallbaum).
 criticism as a statement of Plato's own opinion, for he makes Socrates commend the sentiment. Indeed, it corresponds with Socrates' criticism of Laches (p. 193), in so far as it means that when a man is courageous from having a skill that makes the danger less, though the fact helps him to feel confident, it yet diminishes the moral value of his confidence. Aristotle (see Appendix), no doubt with this dialogue in his mind, ranks the courage of experience among the spurious forms of courage. Nicias however is not thinking of the courage of experience, but has in his mind a more philosophical theory as to the nature of courage, which he is nevertheless unable so to expound as to be proof against Socrates' dialectic.
'̇o七кє $\mu$ évтoı $\lambda$ '́ $\gamma \in \iota \nu \tau$, 'there seems, you know, to be something in what he says.' Nicias replies with some humour : 'Yes, there is something in what he says, but it is not true.'
 oîò $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$ каі $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu 0 \sigma \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon s$.
 tory of тov̂to.
$\sigma$ sì $\delta i \omega \mathrm{~s}$, lit. 'do you assign ?' i.e. in your theory.
тov̀s $\mu$ ávтєts. This no doubt conveys a slight sneer at the superstitious nature of Nicias. See note on $199 \mathrm{~A}, \dot{\delta}$ vó $\mu$ os


тov̀s adopeious. The article with the predicate is justified by the consideration that (according to Laches) the two classes-prophets and brave men-would be exactly coextensive. Cf. Gorgias 491 E . roùs $\grave{\eta} \lambda \iota \theta$ ious $\lambda$ '́ $\gamma \epsilon$ ts $\tau$ oùs $\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho o v a s$, 'it is the silly whom you call the temperate.'
Ti $\delta a i$; 'What?'

## CAP. XXIV.

 є่ $\pi \in \ell$, 'for do you think that ...?'
 ... what he means.' The construction must be by this time familiar to the reader.
$\epsilon i \mu \eta$ ci. Cf. the Latin ' nisi si.'


 $\kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega$, and Rep. p. 405 c , iкаע̀̀s $\pi a ́ \sigma a s ~ . . . ~ \sigma \tau \rho о ф a ̀ s ~ \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi є \sigma \theta a \iota ~ . . . ~$ $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \eta^{\pi} \pi \alpha \alpha \sigma \chi \in \hat{\imath ิ \nu} \delta i \kappa \eta \eta$.

 sense in doing this.' тẫтa $\pi 0 เ \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ is the subject to $\in\lceil\chi \in \nu$.
vvิv $\delta \in \in$, ' but as things are.'
Oúsèv oủ $\delta^{\prime}$ '́pol, к. т. ג., 'Nor do I think there is any reason why he should, Laches,' Socrates answers as if Laches had

C $\quad \mu \eta \mathrm{N}$ ikias olєєтal. When the objects of fear or caution are present or past, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ following verbs denoting fear or caution takes the present or past tenses of the indicative (see Good-
 Theaetetus 145 в.

## CAP. XXV.


тavтòs $\delta \dot{\eta}$, 'cujusvis.'
ómótє $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$, 'since you say that.'
$\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \ldots \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \ldots \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \in$, 'neither ... nor ... or.'
Karà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ mapoцplav, к. $\tau . \lambda$., 'So really not "any pig" (to quote the proverb) "could know that," or could become brave.' According to the Scholiast the proverb in question

 myon, and was killed by Theseus. Plutarch gives its

 was in the territory of Corinth.
ámoסéXєoӨal, 'to admit.'
خे $\xi v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \in i v$ Өทpiov $\tau \iota, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$, , lit. 'or else to allow that some beast is so clever, that what few men know on account of the difficulty of learning it, he asserts that a lion,' etc. The sentence would be regular if the words from $\lambda \epsilon \in \rho \tau \tau \alpha$ to $\phi \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} a \iota$ inclusive were omitted.
 substantives are in two groups, the sense of the passage being, 'But he who defines courage as you do is bound to say that in natural disposition for courage deer are on a level with lions, and monkeys on a level with bulls.'

خ̀ $\pi a ̂ \sigma เ \nu$ évavtเoúpєvos, к. $\tau . \lambda$. , 'or do you venture, in 197 A opposition to the opinion of everybody else, not to call them courageous at all?'
à $\lambda \lambda$ ' ${ }^{\text {á }}$ о $\beta$ о $v$ каi $\mu \omega$ рóv. Thus the bird called ' the Booby' was so named on account of its absolute fearlessness. Darwin (Naturalist's Voyage p. 398 foll.) gives some interesting facts which show that fear of man is not found to exist in races of wild birds when they are first brought into contact with him, but, on the contrary, is acquired very slowly, and only as the result of inherited experience.
 ness and courage are different things.' The distinction is a real one; but see note on Prodicus, 197 d.
 sake of conformity with the first part of the sentence.

## CAP. XXVI.

 $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$ кєขоîs $\lambda$ 人́rots aủròs aúrò̀ коб $\mu \circ \hat{\imath}, 196$ в.
 would have been more satisfactory as a direct answer to Laches; but Nicias answers the thought rather than the words.

Mápaxov. Subsequently Nicias' colleague in the Sicilian expedition. He was a brave and able general, and had he not so soon fallen in battle the fate of the Athenian armament might have been very different. Lamachus is the type of the

197 C warlike man in Aristophanes, who finds his name convenient for puns on $\mu a ́ \chi \eta$, e.g.-

Cf. Peace 1291, where Trygaeus says to Lamachus' son on hearing who he is-
aißô̂


 i.e. 'though I could if I liked.'

Aiگюvє́a. The people of Laches' deme, Aexone, were noted, according to one Scholiast, for abusive language ; according to another, for pride.
D ov̉סॄ̀ $\mu \hat{\eta}$ n่ $\sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta$ al, ' not to have perceived at all.' Stallbaum however, following Godf. Hermann, regards the negatives here as making an affirmative.
$\tau \hat{\oplus}$ Пробiк $\omega$, к.т. 入. Prodicus of Ceos was one of the most famous Sophists of Platn's time. He published one or more treatises on the distinction between words apparently synonymous, and for this he is ridiculed by Plato here and also in the Protagoras, e.g. 337 A , where he is made to say that those present at an argument ought кoıvoùs $\mu$ èv eivaı


 e' $\lambda a \tau \tau \sigma \nu .$. 'to be impartial hearers of both the speakers; remembering however that impartiality is not the same as equality, for both sides should be impartially heard, and yet an equal meed should not be assigned to both of them ; but to the wiser a higher meed should be given, and a lower to the less wise" (Jowett). He ends his speech by saying, "And thus we, who are the hearers, will be gratified and not pleased" (єv่фрaıvoi $\mu \in \theta a$, oúk $\dot{\eta} \delta o i \mu \in \theta a)$ " for gratification is of the mind when receiving wisdom and knowledge, but pleasure is of the body when eating or experiencing some other bodily delight" (Jowett).



 ס́́os, 申óßos $\delta$ ' ouv. See also Charmides 163 D . Plato is probably unfair to Prodicus, and Grote well observes that "a teacher who took care, even punctilious care, in fixing the meaning of important words of his discourse must be considered as guiding the minds of his hearers in a salutary
direction ; salutary, we may add, even to Plato himself, 197 D whose speculations would most certainly have been improved by occasional hints from such a monitor." Prodicus how. ever should be better known as the author of the pretty fable known as the "Choice of Hercules," which should be read as related in Xenophon's Mem. ii. 1. 21-33.

ávסpl ôv $\dot{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. Laches, though still rather angry, is yet mollified enough by Nicias' praise to return the compliment. Nicias' silence during all this time while Socrates and Laches are discussing his behaviour is in accordance with the gentleness of his character.
 473 C-D, where Socrates is made to say that a perfect government is only possible if philosophers are made kings, or kings and rulers become philosophers, i.e. if those who possess political power have high speculative ability, and have undergone a training to develop that ability.
 me to be worth considering what is Nicias' point of view in his definition of this word "courage".'

## CAP. XXVII.

Oủkov̂v кaì бv̀ тоขิто, к.т. $\lambda$. "Then did you not also 198 A imply in your answer that this was a portion of virtue,' etc. There is a similar construction below, p. $199 \mathrm{c}, \mu \epsilon$ 白 $o s$

 other elements, the combination of all the elements being called virtue.'

 The first of these is an intellectual quality, but the other three can be made to embrace the whole of moral virtue without an undue extension of meaning. Notice however that the word d $\dot{\rho} \epsilon \in \tau$ has not primarily a moral meaning any more than a $\quad$ aO.ós has, and it is only in its application to the moral nature of man that it can strictly be translated by our word 'virtue.'
"Exє $\delta \dot{\eta}$. 'Wait a moment.'
$\delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \xi \in \iota$, " you shall set me right" (Jowett).

198 B $\quad$ áp̣pa $\lambda$ éa, 'things not terrible.' We have no single word in English for this.
 inadequate definition of fear. Aristotle in his Rhetoric (ii. 5. 1) gives a more exact definition: "E $\sigma \tau \omega$ ס̀̀ $\phi \dot{\circ} \beta$ os $\lambda u ́ \pi \pi \eta \tau \tau \bar{\eta} \eta$
 Appendix.
 never left out of the conversation.
C Tà ... $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{́} \tau \epsilon \rho a$ means little more than $\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~(s e e ~ 188 ~ c), ~$



## CAP. XXVIII.

D 'Ey⿳亠 $\delta$ ì̀ фрáow, к. $\tau$. $\lambda$. In the following speech nothing very difficult is propounded, though the phraseology is difficult, for the reason that Plato had not at his command any half-technical words like 'past,' 'present,' 'future,' 'science,' 'subject-matter,' and so forth. Socrates says that of any given subject-matter there will not be three separate sciences according as it is past, present, or future, but only one science under all these aspects.

Translate: 'Well, I will tell you. My friend and I, you must know, think that in all matters of which science is cognisant there is not one science of the past, whereby we know how it has been, and another of the present to say how it is, and another to tell us how what is still future can best be or will be, but one and the same for all. For instance, in reference to health with respect to any time, no other science but the single one of medicine considers both the present, the past, and the probabilities of the future.'
 as in 195 E we have a gentle sarcasm aimed at Nicias' superstitious character. For Plato's readers there is probably an allusion to Nicias' conduct after the eclipse of the moon on August 27th, 413 b.c., when he delayed the retreat from Syracuse in obedience to the soothsayers, and consequently sealed the doom of the Athenian armament. It was certainly a case of the prophet ruling the general. каi $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda 6 \nu \tau \omega \nu \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$,







 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ av̉ $\hat{\omega} \nu$, 'whether past, present, or future.'
"E $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, 'Yes, I do (agree).'
кaì mávтшs éXóvtcv, 'and indeed under all possible con- B ditions.' Possibly these words were not Plato's, but added from Socrates' next speech by a copyist.

## CAP. XXIX.




 The каí joins $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega s$ є́ $\chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ to $\pi \dot{a} \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
oúr $\omega \mathrm{s}$ ấ $\mu \in \tau a \tau i \theta \in \sigma \theta a l$, к. $\tau . \lambda$. 'Do you say that you make this change in your definition, Nicias, or what change?' The av̂ marks a transition of thought which we seldom indicate in English. We might represent it by 'now' in this passage.

kai $\pi a v \tau a ́ \pi a \sigma \iota v ~ \omega ́ s ~ . . . ~ ' a n d ~ e x a c t l y ~ h o w ~ . . . ~ . ' ~ T h e ~ c o n s t r u c-~$
 $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta \dot{a}$ каì $\dot{\omega} \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ả $\gamma a \theta \dot{\alpha}$ रí $\gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha u$, к.т. $\lambda$.
 condition to distinguish with caution between things terrible and the reverse in reference both to gods and men, and to procure good for himself, because he knows how to behave rightly towards them." Schanz and Badham reject каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta}$, Gitlbauer rejects кai $\tau \dot{a} \gamma a \theta \dot{\alpha}$. One or other of these corrections seems almost necessary to avoid a very forced rendering of $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \nu \lambda a \beta \epsilon i=\theta a \iota$.

## CAP. XXX.

 because you think it no longer any consequence that you yourself were proved just now to know nothing about courage, but are looking for my being proved to be in the same case; and it would seem that you will not at all mind being ignorant in my company, of things which a man who has any opinion of himself ought to understand.'
 very natural to all of us．＇
 enough has been said＂（Jowett）．
ov̂ $\sigma \dot{v}$ mov ol＇є кataүє入âv，＇whom you，it seems，think you may deride．＇
$\beta \in \beta a \iota \omega ́ \sigma \omega \mu a \iota ~ a v ่ \tau a ́ . ~ C f . ~ 194 ~ c, ~ к а i ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ a ̀ ~ \nu o є i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{̣ ̂ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma 凶 ~}$ $\beta \in \beta a \iota \omega \sigma \alpha \iota$ ．

Sokeîs yáp $\mu$ ol，к．т．$\lambda .$, ＇for you seem to me to bé very much in need of learning．
 Laches soon recovers his good temper．

Xaipetv éâv．A very common phrase，＇to say good－bye to．＇ See below， 201 в．

тav́rà àv $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau})^{\prime}$ érolovv，＇I should do the same＇（as I advise them to do）．
D Nıкท́patov．Nicias＇son，called after Nicias＇father，accord－ ing to the custom noticed in the note on 179 A ．
 people to me on each occasion when I mention it to him．＇So Socrates had introduced Damon to Nicias（180 c－D）．In the phrase $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ there is probably no ellipse，but both particles exert what Riddell calls a simultaneous force．

тоข́тఱ，i．e．for Socrates．
$\xi \cup \mu \pi \rho \circ \theta \cup \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \iota .$. ；＇will you help ．．．？＇

## CAP．XXXI．

 $\nu v ิ \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ó $\mu \mathrm{ol} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}$ yáp．See note on 184 D ． should a man choose any one of us before（the others）？I think that（he ought to choose）none（of us）．＇There is not an exact correspondence between the question and the answer in the Greek．
 ＇（I don＇t mind making these humiliating admissions and sug－ gestions），because my words will not be reported to anybody outside．＇About the precise meaning of the words there is more difficulty．The ms．reading is that given in the text，and，if it is what Plato wrote，must mean，＂for none of our conversa－ tion is likely to be reported outside．＂But oú $\delta \epsilon i s . .$. 入ó $\begin{gathered}\text { os }\end{gathered}$ is
awkward. Consequently Stallbaum, Schanz, and C. F. Her- 201 A
 sermonem efferat-Stallb.). Stallbaum refers to Ar. Thermophoriazusae, 472, where the mss. have aùvai $\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$, ко⿺̇ঠ $\delta \epsilon \mu$ ' 'Kкфopos $\lambda$ ó you, 'for we are alone, and no one of us is likely to divulge what I say.' For the passive signification of "火кфороs
 áp $\sigma \in \nu a s$; for the active Aesch. Eum. 910, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta v \sigma \sigma \epsilon \beta$ oúv $\tau \omega \nu \delta^{\prime}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \phi \quad \rho \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \rho a \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda o l s$. Whether we read $\lambda$ '́ $o s$ or $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o v$, the words are probably a quotation from the drama. If so we might guess that the words are Euripides', and are parodied in Aristoph. loc. cit.

єis $\delta \mathbf{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta a \sigma \kappa a ́ \lambda \omega \nu , ~ ' t o ~ ( t h e ~ h o u s e ~ o f ) ~ t e a c h e r s . ' ~ C f . ~ ' a d ~ D i a n a e . ' ~}$
$\pi \rho \circ \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a u$, lit. 'to put before ourselves' (as a shield or excuse), i.e. 'to plead the authority of.'
 occurs in Od. xvii. 347. Plato here puts it in oratio obliqua. He quotes it in its original form in Charmides 161 A-

є́áбavtєs Xaípєเv. See above, 200 c .
кoเvท $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ av่т $\omega \hat{v}$, к. $\tau . \lambda$., 'will attend to our own needs as well as those of the young men.'
à $\lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \mu o t$. 'But, I beg.'
olkaסє, 'to my house.'
тò $\delta$ ह̀ $\nu$ v̂v єîval. 'But for the present.'
$\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu \quad \theta$ єòs $\epsilon \theta \in \dot{\lambda} \eta \eta$. This use of $\theta \epsilon$ ós in the singular, without reference to any particular god, is by no means uncommon in Greek literature.

## APPENDIX.

The Laches is not meant to be an exhaustive treatise on the subject of courage, but rather to give suggestions towards an accurate consideration of the topic under the form of a natural conversation, where character is not subordinated to logic, and where the argument is developed by the free action of one man's mind upon another.

It is therefore unfair on the dialogue to take away from it its dramatic form. But it may nevertheless be of use to give here a short summary of the argument in a more modern shape.
"It is curious that great men should often take such little care of their son's education. Yet that education is most important on its physical as well as its moral side. We find however that even on the subject of physical education there is great diversity of opinion among sensible experienced men. A particular form of gymnastics is praised by some and blamed by others; one man thinks it will probably be useful, another suspects it to be altogether useless because it is useless at times. Hardly anyone considers that bodily exercise is at least as valuable to the mind as it is to the body; or attempts to base his theory of appropriate exereises, in part at any rate, on the constitution of the mind and the nature of the qualities he would cultivate in it. Indeed, as to the nature of those qualities many are altogether ignorant.

Take courage for instance-this being the quality which above all others manly sports should cultivate. Have we a clear idea what courage is? The man who does not run away in battle is (presumably) brave; but there are many brave men who have never seen a battle in their lives. Shall we say then that courage is a sort of resolution or endurance? If so, what sort of resolution is it? Resolution in investing money? Clearly not, but, if resolution, it ought to be some kind of sensible resolution, or resolution combined with prudence. Yet, if courage is defined thus, we must further ask who is the braver man of the two, the imprudent man who fights against odds, or the prudent man who fights with the advantage of numbers on his side?

It is true that in all courage, which is not mere brute courage, there must be an element of wisdom. But we must not therefore say that courage is a sort of wisdom. For instance, some people say that courage is wisdom as applied to the consideration of dangers. But dangers are coming evils, and the wisdom that judges of evil in the future cannot differ from the wisdom that judges of evil in the past and present, and no one would call such wisdom courage. So difficult is it to say what courage is, and so vague are our notions on the very elements of the science of morals."
Though there is a great deal more in the dialogue than the discussion of physical courage, it is only of that quality that we shall now speak. In the Laches then we learn that there is a physical element in courage and an intellectual element, but that there is great difficulty in determining the relation of these elements to each other. We learn that in a sense "discretion is the better part of valour," but we are not told what this means ; on the contrary, we are shown that when the discretion is narrowly selfseeking it does not add to a man's courage, but detracts from it. Here it would be well to explain this contradiction, and attempt to give an account of courage that shall harmonise these conflicting views, which are entertained, we believe, as much by Englishmen as they were by Plato. For instance, no one reads the story of Nelson saying, "I never saw fear: what is it?" * without admiring the boy for his utter fearlessness; yet most of us would agree with Alan Breck Stewart that " to be feared of a thing and yet to do it, is what makes the prettiest kind of a man " $\dagger$ (ка入òv кáräbov).

The truth is that we give to constitutional fearlessness the same sort of admiration that we give to personal strength or personal beauty ; whereas we admire courage that depends on principle in the same way as we admire any other moral virtue. The former kind of courage may exist without the latter; the latter perhaps never exists without some degree of the former. But it will be convenient to speak of it as so existing.

Accordingly we may analyse the courage of the man who from principle sacrifices or endangers his own life for the good of others into two elements-(i.) The wisdom of preferring a greater good to a smaller good: (ii.) The sacrifice of his own immediate desire.

[^3]The same elements constitute the courage of a man who endures danger or pain for his own sake. He does what is wise and also does it by sacrificing his inclination of the moment, which does not necessarily in that particular instance tend towards his well-being even from a purely selfish point of view, for fear, which is on the whole an instinct tending to preserve, is yet so eminently unreasonable, and is so heedless of anything except the nearest danger, that on many oceasions it has a tendency to destroy. Thus a man suffering from a dangerous disease to be cured only by a painful operation naturally shrinks from the operation ; and is therefore praised for submitting to it, even though he should do so merely for his own sake.

On the other hand we blame, and justly blame, as rash a man who puts himself into situations which are clearly dangerous for him unless he do so with a fair prospect of obtaining a greater good either for himself or his fellow-men.

To repeat this distinction in a slightly different form, fear will, as a rule, tend to preserve an animal from destruction; on the other hand there are many occasions on which a want of fear will preserve an animal from destruction. Hence a due balance between fear and fearlessness will be the best quality for preserving an individual. This fact may be verified any day at a London crossing, where the over-timid and the careless equally put themselves in danger of being run over, Therefore we say that "discretion is the better part of valour," and we blame men who are "rash.'"

But as courage may also be a social virtue, that is, be exerted for the sake of saving the community, and not for the sake of saving the individual only, we praise it even when it brings manifest harm to him provided his action be one that tends to the good of society. It is the latter point to which we expect him to give his best attention ; if it be once settled that he will benefit his friends, his country, or the world by exposing himself to danger, then the more careless a man is of his own safety the greater hero we think him.

In Plato's Protagoras Socrates forees Protagoras to admit that courage is the $\sigma \circ \phi i \alpha \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ кai $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$, and that cowardice is the corresponding $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \theta i a(p .360)$. In fact the doctrine is the same as that alluded to by Nicias in the Laches, and is given as that of the historic Socrates in Xenophon's Memorabilia. There Xenophon relates that Socrates said that "those who knew how to behave properly in reference to dangers and risks were brave, and those who had not this knowledge were cowards" (Mem. iv. 6. 11). Yet Socrates acknowledged a physical basis to courage, for when asked
whether that quality came by teaching or nature, he answered that one man was naturally braver than another, but that courage could be increased $\mu a \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ каi $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \tau \eta$ (Mem. iii. 9. 1-3). There could hardly be a better or simpler statement of the case than this.

In Plato's other dialogues we find various unreconciled statements on the subject of $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \in i \alpha$. In the Laws i. p. 633, it is spoken of as a resistance not only to fear and pain, but to desire, pleasure, and flattery, in fact it is taken as manliness in its widest sense, and there is the same extension of view in the Republic, where it is defined as the power of keeping firm under all kinds of temptations the right opinion concerning things terrible and the reverse (Rep. pp. 429-430). And in the Politicus (p. 306) we find the word $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \in i a$ used as a term under which to include all the qualities of strength or activity in mind or body.

In the Laws (xii. p. 963) we have mention made of du $\nu \delta \rho \in i ́ a$ in the narrow sense, and there it is said that a courageous soul comes into existence by nature and without the help of reason. This view is much the same as that put into Protagoras' mouth (Prot. p. 351 A ), $\theta$ á $\rho \sigma$ os $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu ~ \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ каi à à̀ $\tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu \eta s$



On the other hand, in the Gorgias p. 495, it is said that some courage implies knowledge, though the two are distinct.

And lastly, in the Phaedo (p. 68) we find the suggestion of a higher courage where it is said that most brave men fear death from fear of evils worse than death (for example, slavery or disgrace), but that the philosopher will welcome it as a release from the body that impedes the free action of his mind.

We will conclude with Aristotle's account of courage. In his Ethics (iii. 6. foll.) he says that courage, like all the moral virtues, is a mean between two extremes, cowardice and fool-hardiness. It is concerned with matters of fear and their reverse, but more especially with the former. Matters of fear are all future evils, but with many of these courage has nothing to do. Thus, a man is not brave because he does not fear poverty, or because he does not fear shame, except in a metaphorical sense (here Aristotle clears the ground by a most useful distinction), nor is he brave for not fearing death from drowning at sea or death in disease, but only for not fearing death and dangers in war. (Here Aristotle makes an absurd distinction, which we see was natural to the Greek mind from what Laches says in our dialogue, where he defines courage in terms that can apply only to the courage of a

Greek hoplite, p. 190 E ). Aristotle goes on to say that there are things terrible beyond man's power of courage to endure, and he considers that none but madmen, or men with no feelings, or Gauls could fail to fear earthquakes or waves. Such people show the extreme of fearlessness. He distinguishes from this an excess of over-boldness, but the distinction is of little importance ; except in so far as he says that the overbold are often mere braggarts, but in that case they are not really bold.

The man who shows the extreme of fear and of want of confidence is the coward; but the brave man not only endures what he ought, but does it $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ ка入ои̂ éveка.

Further that there are five spurious forms of courage-
(i.) $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \kappa \eta \dot{\jmath} \nu \dot{\delta} \rho \rho \epsilon i a$, i.e. facing danger through obedience to the laws, or for the sake of the applause of society, or to avoid its censure.
(ii.) Experience of the particular danger.
(iii.) The courage of anger.
(iv.) The courage of the sanguine man (or of the drunken man).
(v.) The courage of ignorance.

In his Rhetoric he gives a more popular definition, which is meant to be good enough for ordinary purposes: "Courage is the quality by reason of which men are disposed to do noble actions in times of danger, and as the law commands, and in obedience to the law, and cowardice is its opposite" (i. 9. 8). And he says in the same treatise that fear is a grief or disturbance arising from the mental picture ( $\epsilon \kappa$ фavtarias) of a painful or destructive evil about to come on us and that soon (ii. 5. 1).

## INDICES TO THE NOTES．

（The pages referred to are those of the book．）

## I．GREEK INDEX．

＇Aya0ós，meaning of， 64. áyavakт̂̂ єi， 79.
$\alpha \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \in L a$, military sense of， 55. à $\lambda \lambda$ à $\gamma a ́ \rho, ~ 88$.

á $\mu \phi$ о́тєра used adverbially， 65. ${ }^{a}$ v pleonastic，53， 59.
àv $\delta \rho \in i=s$, wide meaning of， 74.

《 $\xi$ เov，construction of， 47.
áтокріขєбӨal，followed by cog－ nate accusative， 76.
áрє́т $\eta$ ，meaning of， 85.
а́риогіа， 68.
аи̂， 87.
$\beta \epsilon \beta$ aıov̂न $\theta a \iota, 80,88$.


үáp not inferential， 76.


ס́́，42， 78 ；redundant， 80 ．
Seidia，how far discussed， 74.
Séos，strictly speaking distinct from фó $\beta$ os， 84.

Sıठóval 入ó $\mathbf{\gamma}$ v，66， 67.
סíkaots $\in \mathfrak{i}, 48$.
Sıkatorúv $\eta$ ，one of the virtues of the Republic， 85.
סokєî，changed construction of， 57.

Sopvסрétavov， 55. 8wрьтті，68， 69.
ćáv in protasis，followed by pres．ind．in apodosis， 43.
ย̇âv， 60.
téâ xaipetv， 89.
єi ¿́pa то入入áкıs，44， 79.
єїтєр тis ä入入os， 44.
е́кфороs，88， 89.


є̇таүүє́ $\lambda \lambda о \mu$ аь， 69.
е̇тьßátaı， 55.
ย̇ $\pi เ \epsilon \iota к \omega ิ ร, ~ 88$.
＇̇ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} v a l, 43$.
$\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta, 77$.
$\epsilon \pi i т \eta \delta \in s, 54$.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi(\phi \theta$ ovos in passive sense， 58.

$\dot{\eta} \lambda$ і́коs， 48.
Oap’ṕa入є́a，S6．
Өаицабті̀v ठ̈テov， 58.
$\theta$ cós without article， 89.
$\theta$ єратєє́єเv， 44.
$\theta \hat{\eta} \tau \in \varsigma, 55$.
$\theta$ pacús，meaning of， 57.
кaí，peculiar force of， 59.
кало́v $\tau \in$ кáyäóv， 64.
$\kappa む ้ v \kappa v ่ \omega v ~ \kappa d ̈ \nu$ ข̂s $\gamma v o i ́ \eta, 82$.

катаүела̂v, 88.
$\kappa \in \lambda \in \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$, meaning of, 45.
$\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon เ v \tau \iota, 81$.
$\mu \dot{\partial} \theta \eta \mu \alpha, 53$.

$\mu \in ́ v$ and $\delta \dot{\epsilon}, 42,78$.
$\mu \eta$, with indicative, 82 .
$\mu$ ท̀ ov̉, 69.
$\mu \eta \sigma т \omega р а$ фо́ßоьо, 73.
щоขбькท́, 47.
vv̂v $\delta \notin \ldots$... үáp, 59, 88.

oiкєิิซӨal, 60.
ỏvoนá̧́ยเv, followed by єivaı, 75 . отлонахіа, 52. See also 42.
ópOoîs, 49.
óp $\theta \omega \hat{s} \gamma \in \sigma$ ò $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \nu, 75$.
\%бos, 58.
8тt, redundant, 64.

ov่ $\pi \dot{\alpha} v v, 54,70$.
ov̉𧰨โa, 71.
$\pi \alpha ́ \lambda a \iota$, force of, 43.
та́тттоv, 43.
тapá, '(different) from,' 50.
тари́бเтоs and тарабเтติ, 44.
тар $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\beta \in \tau о$, 55.
$\pi є р \iota ф \in ́ \rho є \iota ~ \mu є ~ \mu \nu \eta \eta \mu \eta, 48$.
$\pi \lambda \in \hat{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha$ ठ' $\sigma a, 58$.
$\pi \lambda є о \nu \epsilon к т \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha, 77$.
$\pi$ oios, in scornful sense, 80 .
$\sigma \alpha u p \omega \tau \eta \rho, 56$.
бкотєโิ้, 'inquire,' 70.
ooфia, one of the virtues of the Republic, 85.
бтрат $\eta \gamma i a, 52$.
бтрє́фєбӨaı, 82.
бтúpaگ, 56.
$\sigma \nu \mu \beta$ оข єข́єเข, 43, 70.
$\sigma \cup \mu \beta$ оид єúєб 0 al, 43.
$\sigma \nu \mu \beta$ ии́ $о \mu a \iota, 69$.

$\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma v 勹 \eta$, one of the virtues of the Republic, 85.

т $\grave{\imath} \eta \mu$ и́ттєра, 86.
тย́ $\mathbf{\nu} \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\eta}, 77$.
то̀ द́uóv, 67.
тò $\tau i ́ \epsilon \in \sigma \tau เ v, 71$.

v́mó after active verb, 48, 65.
$\phi \in ́ \beta \in \sigma \theta a \iota, 73$.
фóßos, strictly speaking distinct from Séos, 84.

Xalpєเข ย̇âv, 88, 89.
$\omega ँ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$, introducing metaphor, 59.

## II. ENGLISH INDEX.

Accusative, reflexive with infinitive, 57.

- cognate, 76.
- double, 44.

Aexone, deme of, 41, 84.
Alopece, deme of, Socrates, Thucydides, and Aristides belong to it, 47 .
Agathocles, 47.
Alcestis, 43.
Alcibiades, 49, 64.
Anacolutbon, 47.

- harsh instance of, 44.

Aristides the Just, 41.

- the education he gave his sons, 45.
Aristides, grandson of the above, 42, 50.
Aristotle on courage, 74.
- on definition, 71. on music, 68.
on spears with rounded heads, 42.
Art, 78.
Artaxerxes' army, 73.
Article, with predicate, 81.
'Arts, The,' often alluded to by Socrates, 80.
Athenian names, 43.
Athens, her literary pre-eminence, 53.
Attraction, 44, 48.
Bacon quoted, 60.
Battle of Delium, 41, 49.
_- of Mantineia, 41.

Birds, slowly acquire fear of man, 83.
Booby, the, 83.
'Brave' has more than one meaning, 74.
Caesar quoted, 55.
Carian, 65.
Changed construction, 57.
Charoeades, Laches' colleague in Sicily, 41.
' Choice of Hercules,' 85.
'Clouds' of Aristophanes, 64.
Courage, combined with want of wisdom, 76.

- does it come by nature or teaching, 80.
- necessary for philosophical speculation, 78, 79.
- of experience; views of Aristotle and Plato on it, 81.
Cowardice, not discussed explicitly, 74.
Critias, 64, 80.
Crommyon, 82, 83.
Damon, 47.
Darwin's Voyage, 83.
Definition, 71.
Delium, battle of, 41, 49.
Deme of Aexone. See Aexone. Demes, 47.
Diomed and Nestor, 73.
Dionysodorus, 52.
Discretion, is it the better part of valour? 76 .
Dorian mode, 68.

Double accusative, 44.
Draughts, game of, 80 .
Dual and plural combined, 65.
Eclipse of the moon on August 27, в.с. $413,86$.
Educated opinion, value of, 46, 60.

Education of boys, 66.
Epexegesis, 46.
'Essence,' 71.
Euthydemus, Plato's, 42, 52.
Exercise more valuable to the soul than to the body, 61,62 .

Fear inadequately defined, 86.

- of man slowly acquired by birds, 83 .

Homer, far-fetched interpretations of, 73.

Indicative with $\mu \dot{\lambda}, 82$.
Intellect as related to morality, 76.

Irregular apodosis, 43.
Kings philosophers, 85.
Lacedaemonians, 53.

- their intolerance of strangers, 54.
Laches, account of, 41.
- his military capacity, 41.
- his character, 54.
- his prejudice, 58, 62.
- philo-Laconian, 42.
- his opinion of the Lacedaemonians, 73.
- finds a subject easy because he has not thought about it, 72.
- out of temper, $85,88$.

Lamachus, 83,84 .
Lysimachus, $41,43$.

Lysimachus, his unscientific method of inquiry, 45.

Mantineia, battle of, 41.
Marines, 55.
Medes, 73.
Melesias, 41, 43, 49.
Memorabilia, Xenophon's, 42, 52, 80.
Metaphors, 59, 79.
Modes, musical, 68.
Molière quoted, 51.
Music, Greek, 68.
Music-masters, 47.
Names, Athenian, 43.
Nestor, 73.
Niceratus, son of Nicias, 88.
Nicias, his character, 41 .

- his generalship, 41.
- his courage, 41.
- his reputation, 41.
- philo-Laconian, 42.
- his good opinion of $\dot{\boldsymbol{j} \pi \lambda_{0}-}$ $\mu a \chi i a, 52$.
- his superstition, 81, 86.
- his gentleness, 85.
- his fatal delay at Syracuse, 86.
- Peace of, 42.

Opinion, educated, 46, 60.

- uneducated, 46, 60.

Peace of Nicias, 42.
Pericles, 47.
Persians at Plataea, 73.
Philosophical terms wanting in Plato's time, 71.
Philosophers kings, 85.
Physical education, concerns human soul and is very important, 46.
Plato, his manner of showing that he thinks a subject difficult, 61, 71, 75.

Plato, intentionally obscure, 61 , 71.

- his skilful management of a transition, 75.
Plural and dual combined, 65.
Present indicative in apodosis, 43.

Prodicus, 84, 85.
Prophet, rules the general, 86. Protagoras, 47, 84.
Proverbs quoted, 65, 82.
Qualities needed in philosophical discussion, 79 .

Relation of courage to wisdom, 76.

Roman cavalry, 56.
Science, inaccurate use of the word, 78.
Scythians, 73.
Ships of war, 55 .
Sicilian expedition, 83, 86.
Socrates, his mock humility, 72.

Socrates, the historic,

- his opinion on courage, 80 .
- overestimates the intellectual element in morality, 80.

Socrates, the practical good done by, 67 .
Solon quoted, 67.
Sophists, 63.
Sparta, her military preeminence, 53.
Spear, spike at butt-end of, 56.

Spears, 42.
Stesilaus, 42, 54.
Strategy, teachers of, 42.
Tactics, teachers of, 42.
Theseus, 82.
Thucydides, son of Olorus, 41.
Thucydides, son of Melesias, 41, 43.

- the education he gave his sons, $44,45$.
Thucydides, son of Melesias, the younger, 42 .

Uneducated opinion generally valueless as compared with educated, 46, 60.

- but sometimes useful, 60.

Virtue, a knowledge of its nature essential in forming a theory of education, 70.
' Virtues ' of the Republic, 85.

## THE END
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[^0]:    * In his Philologische Streifzüge (Freiburg, 1886).

[^1]:    * The $\delta \varepsilon$ is pleonastic.

[^2]:    * The enharmonic scale admitted quarter tones, and was so far different, in theory at least, from anything in our music.

[^3]:    * Southey's Life of Nelson, chap. 1.
    $\dagger$ R. L. Stevenson's Kidnapped, p. 193

